arXiv:1601.04268v1 [math.SG] 17 Jan 2016

THE SYMPLECTIC GEOMETRY OF A NEW KIND OF SIEGEL UPPER HALF SPACE OF ORDER 2 (I)

Tianqin Wang, Tianze Wang, and Hongwen Lu

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce a new kind of Siegel upper half space and consider the symplectic geometry on it explicitly under the action of the group of all holomorphic transformations of it. The results and methods will form a basis for our number theoretic applications later.

Keywords: Siegel upper half space; symplectic geometry; group action.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2010: 11F55, 11F46, 11F50.

1. Introduction and notations

Let $\mathbb{R}$ denote the field of real numbers, and $\mathbb{C}$ the field of complex numbers by convention. For any positive integers m and n, let $\mathbb{C}^{(m,n)}$ denote the set of all $m \times n$ matrices Z with entries in $\mathbb{C}$. For any $Z \in \mathbb{C}^{(m,n)}$ we use ${}^tZ$ to denote its transpose, which is a $n \times m$ matrix in $\mathbb{C}^{(n,m)}$. For any positive integer n, the well known so-called Siegel upper half space $\mathbb{H}_n$ of order n is, by definition,

$$\mathbb{H}_n := \left\{ Z \in \mathbb{C}^{(n,n)} \mid {}^tZ = Z,\ \mathrm{I}mZ > 0 \right\},$$

where $\mathrm{I}mZ$ (resp. $\mathrm{R}eZ$) denotes the imaginary (resp. real) part of Z, i.e., if $Z = (z_{ij})$ then $\mathrm{I}mZ = (\mathrm{I}mz_{ij})$, $\mathrm{R}eZ = (\mathrm{R}ez_{ij})$, and $\mathrm{I}mZ > 0$ means that $\mathrm{I}mZ$ is positive definite. Here, and throughout this paper, the symbol " := " is used to indicate that the right hand side of an equality is the definition of the left. Clearly, if $n = 1$, then $\mathbb{H}_n$ is reduced to the classical Poincáre[P] upper half plane $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{H}_1$.

The symplectic geometry on $\mathbb{H}$ by the action of the group of linear fractional transformations, or, by the order 2 symplectic group $Sp(2, \mathbb{R}) = SL_2(\mathbb{R})$, possesses an almost full satisfactory understanding nowadays. And this understanding has led to many great applications both in mathematics itself and the spread area of other branches of subject. One of the most fascinating examples is the basic role it plays to the theory of classical automorphic forms and the applications in the field of number theory.

For $n > 1$, the symplectic geometry on $\mathbb{H}_n$, acted by the order $2n$ symplectic group $Sp(2n, \mathbb{R})$, were studied systematically by Siegel[S2] in 1936 for the first time, and lots of very important essential results were established. Besides many other important applications it leads to, it then becomes the concrete basis for the theory of Siegel modular forms and Jacobi forms, see, e.g. [AZ], [DI], [EZ], [M], [S3], [Sk3], [Sk4], [SZ1] and [SZ2]. And

Project Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, Grant Number 11471112.

these subjects nowadays are becoming more and more important, active and fertile fields of mathematics.

In this series of papers, we will introduce a new kind of Siegel upper half space $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$ of order 2, see (3) below; and give a relatively systematic argument for the symplectic geometry on it, in the light of Siegel's classical work[S2]. This first paper will focus only on the relatively pure geometric part of our work. Although one might notice that our new object is not irreducible by Cartan[C] and the geometry might be viewed as a topological product of two Poincáre upper half planes $\mathbb{H}$ in essence as we proceed, it should be pointed out in advance that there do exist many important interesting and useful results valuable for researching at least from the explicit point of view. Furthermore, besides the independent importance just from the geometric point of view, one will find that the geometry in this paper will naturally become the essential basis for our number theoretic applications that follow in this series later. In other words, the principal novelties of this paper can be realized mainly from the following two aspects of view: one is a systematic and explicit formulation of the principal geometry of the new kind of Siegel upper half space $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$ in (3) below, and the other is the presentation of the ideas and explicit methods of transforming the non-irreducible object $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$ to the irreducible one $\mathbb{H}$; and the latter will have further important applications in the forthcoming number theoretic researches. By the way, we want to point out further that when the action of a discrete group is considered there would arise some new difficulties to be overcome.

Throughout this paper, we will use the following specific notations. The letter ε is used to stand for 1 or -1, that is $\varepsilon = \pm 1$. The letters p and q are always used to denote the following matrices of order 2,

$$p := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad q := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The capital letters P and Q are always used to denote the block matrices

$$P := \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q := \begin{pmatrix} q & 0 \\ 0 & q \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then simple computations show that

$$p^{-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} p^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & p^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$q^2 = I, \ \ Q^2 = I.$$

Here and throughout this paper, I is used to denote the identity matrix of proper order $n \geq 1$, which is not necessarily the same at different occurrences. We always use the capital letter J to denote the block matrix

$$J := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In this paper, J is also assumed to be of order 4, or equivalently, the above blocks I and 0 are assumed to be of order 2. Then the well known symplectic group $Sp(4, \mathbb{R})$ of order 4, which will be denoted by Ω_2 throughout this paper, is as follows

$$\Omega_2 := Sp(4, \mathbb{R}) = \left\{ M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \mid A, B, C, D \in \mathbb{R}^{(2,2)}, \ \ {}^tMJM = J \right\}.$$

Notice that we clearly have

$$P,\, Q \in \Omega_2.$$

Recall that the Siegel upper half space $\mathbb{H}_2$ of order 2 is defined as

$$\mathbb{H}_2 = \left\{ Z \in \mathbb{C}^{(2,2)} \mid {}^tZ = Z,\ ImZ > 0 \right\} = \left\{ Z = \begin{pmatrix} \tau_1 & z \\ z & \tau_2 \end{pmatrix} \mid \tau_1, \tau_2, z \in \mathbb{C}, ImZ > 0 \right\}.$$

And the action of Ω_2 on $\mathbb{H}_2$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} f: \quad & \Omega_2 \times \mathbb{H}_2 \to \mathbb{H}_2 \\ & (M,\, Z) \mapsto W = f(M,\, Z) = M < Z > . \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Here, and throughout this paper, we will always use the definition

$$M < Z > := (AZ + B)(CZ + D)^{-1}, \tag{2}$$

for any $Z \in \mathbb{H}_2$ and $M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Omega_2$.

The materials of this paper are arranged as follows. In §2 we will first give the exact definition of our object we will work with throughout our series, i.e., the definition of the new kind of Siegel upper half space $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$ of order 2. Then we will give an initial formulation of the action group. §3 is arranged to give an alternative formulation of $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$ and the corresponding action group. §4 is devoted to the investigation of the bi-holomorphic mappings of $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$. The result together with the arguments in §2 will give a complete formulation of the action group. This then becomes the basis for our further arguments. In §5 we consider the reduced form of a pair of points in $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$, which will be used to simplify largely the formulation of our results in the following sections. In §6 the most important symplectic metric is built. This is a cornerstone for the materials that follow. §7 is devoted to consider the geodesic line and the distance connecting two points. This of course presents one of the most important intrinsic feature of the so called geometry. In the last §8 the corresponding symplectic volume element is considered explicitly.

2. The new kind of Siegel upper half space and the action group

Based on the well known Siegel upper half space $\mathbb{H}_2$ of order 2, we now give the definition of the most important object $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$ in this paper:

$$\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2 := \{ Z \in \mathbb{H}_2 \mid Q < Z >= Z \}. \tag{3}$$

From now on, this $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$ will always be called the new kind Siegel upper half space of order 2, as expressed in the title of this paper. Note that, for any $Z = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & z_2 \\ z_3 & z_4 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{(2,2)}$, $Q < Z >= Z$ if and only if $qZ = Zq$, i.e., $z_1 = z_4,\ z_2 = z_3$. Thus by the definition of $\mathbb{H}_2$,

$$\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2 = \left\{ Z = \begin{pmatrix} \tau & z \\ z & \tau \end{pmatrix} \mid \ \tau, z \in \mathbb{C},\ \mathrm{I}m\tau > |\mathrm{I}mz| \right\}. \tag{4}$$

Remark 1. From (4) one can see easily that the freedom of the elements Z in $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$ over $\mathbb{C}$ is 2. Further, in view of the form of the matrices Z, we call them bi-symmetric. Recall

that the well known Siegel upper half spaces $\mathbb{H}_2$ and $\mathbb{H}_1$ have freedoms 3 and 1 over $\mathbb{C}$ respectively. Thus from this freedom point of view, our new kind of Siegel upper half space $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$ can be viewed as an intermediate case between the cases of $\mathbb{H}_2$ and $\mathbb{H}_1$. Therefore, by comparing the classical outstanding work of Siegel [S2] in 1936, it might be interesting to establish a basis for the symplectic geometry of the new object $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$.

The first main result in this paper is an explicit formulation of the maximal subgroup of Ω_2, which can act on $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$ by group action.

Theorem 1. *Let $\hat{\Omega}_2$ be defined as*

$$\hat{\Omega}_2 := \{M \in \Omega_2 \mid M < Z > \in \hat{\mathbb{H}}_2 \text{ for all } Z \in \hat{\mathbb{H}}_2\}.$$

Then we have

$$\hat{\Omega}_2 = \{M \in \Omega_2 \mid MQ = \varepsilon QM\}.$$

And so $\hat{\Omega}_2$ is the maximal subgroup of Ω_2, which can act on $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$ under the action given by (1).

To prove Theorem 1, we first give a preliminary lemma.

Lemma 1. *Let $M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Omega_2$. Then*

$$M < Z >= Z \text{ for all } Z \in \hat{\mathbb{H}}_2 \text{ if and only if } M = \varepsilon I \text{ or } M = \varepsilon Q.$$

Proof. The sufficiency is obvious by definition. So we only need to prove the necessity, i.e., we need to prove that $M = \varepsilon I$ *or* $= \varepsilon Q$ if $M < Z >= Z$ for all $Z \in \hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$. From $Z = M < Z >= (AZ + B)(CZ + D)^{-1}$ we get

$$AZ + B = Z(CZ + D) = ZCZ + ZD. \tag{5}$$

Taking $Z = \tau I$ with $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathrm{I}m\tau > 0$, which is clearly in $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$, then the above equality becomes $\tau^2 C + \tau(D - A) - B = 0$, and this leads to

$$B = C = 0,\ D = A \tag{6}$$

by considering the limits as $\tau \to 0$. Substituting these into (5), we see that the matrix A must satisfy

$$AZ = ZA \tag{7}$$

for any $Z \in \hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$. Now, if one puts $A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}$, $Z = \begin{pmatrix} z & \tau \\ \tau & z \end{pmatrix}$, then by (7) and direct computation we have $a_{11}\tau + a_{12}z = a_{11}\tau + a_{21}z$ and $a_{11}z + a_{12}\tau = a_{12}\tau + a_{22}z$, which clearly imply that $a_{12} = a_{21}$ and $a_{11} = a_{22}$ respectively. This then enables us to assume that A is of the form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}$$

with $a,\ b \in \mathbb{R}$. Again, in view of $M \in \Omega_2$, there holds $A\ {}^tD - B\ {}^tC = I$. So we also have $A\ {}^tA = I$. By this and direct computation, we easily obtain $a^2 + b^2 = 1,\ ab = 0$. So now there exist exactly two possibilities: one is $b = 0$, $a = \pm 1$ and we derive $A = \varepsilon I$, and the

other is $a=0$, $b=\pm 1$ and we derive $A=\varepsilon q$. This together with (6) implies that $M=\varepsilon I$ or $=\varepsilon Q$ as what we need. The proof of lemma 1 is thus complete.

Now, we turn to the proof of Theorem 1. By the definition of $\hat{\Omega}_2$ in Theorem 1, for any $M\in\Omega_2$, it is in $\hat{\Omega}_2$ if and only if $M<Z>\in\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$ for all $Z\in\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$. But by the definition of $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$, $M<Z>\in\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$ if and only if $qM<Z>=M<Z>q$, i.e., $qM<Z>q^{-1}=M<Z>$, or $Q<M<Z>>=M<Z>$ by definition 1. Using the simple property of group action, one can see easily that this last equality is also equivalent to $\left(M^{-1}QM\right)<Z>=Z$. Thus by Lemma 1 we can derive $M^{-1}QM=\varepsilon I$ or $=\varepsilon Q$. However, the first case of $M^{-1}QM=\varepsilon I$ is impossible since this would imply $Q=1$ which is clearly impossible. In other words, the set of $M\in\Omega_2$ satisfying $M^{-1}QM=\varepsilon I$ is void. So there is no contribution to $\hat{\Omega}_2$ from this kind of case. And thus we can only have the latter case of $M^{-1}QM=\varepsilon Q$, i.e., $QM=\varepsilon MQ$, or in other words, the contribution to $\hat{\Omega}_2$ of the $M\in\Omega_2$ comes exactly from the latter case of $QM=\varepsilon MQ$. So this proves that $M\in\hat{\Omega}_2$ iff $QM=\varepsilon MQ$, as desired by the first part of Theorem 1. As for the other parts of the theorem, the maximal property of $\hat{\Omega}_2$ is obvious from its definition, and the remaining things can be derived easily from the relative definitions by using the conclusion of the first part. The proof of Theorem 1 is thus complete.

3. An alternative formulation of $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$ and the action group

In this section, we first come to give an alternative formulation of the new Siegel upper half space $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$, which is isomorphic to $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$ under some "conformal transformation", and consider the corresponding action group, see $\hat{E}_2$ and $\hat{\Omega}_{\hat{E}_2}$ below in (10) and (12) respectively. Then present another main result in this paper: The action of Ω_2 on $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$ is transitive. To this end, we will first give some further conventions for notational convenience. For any $m\times m$ matrix A and any $m\times n$ matrix X, we use $\bar{X}$ to denote the conjugate of X, i.e., the matrix with all of its elements being the complex conjugates of that of X, and we denote

$$A\{X\}:={}^tXA\bar{X},\ A[X]:={}^tXAX.$$

In this way we can write

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2&=\left\{Z=\begin{pmatrix}\tau & z\\ z & \tau\end{pmatrix}\mid\ \tau,z\in\mathbb{C},\ \mathrm{I}m\tau>|\mathrm{I}mz|\right\}\\&=\left\{Z\in\mathbb{C}^{(2,2)}\mid\ qZ=Zq,\ \mathrm{I}mZ=\frac{1}{2i}(Z-\bar{Z})>0\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

And for any $Z\in\mathbb{C}^{(2,2)}$, in view of $J=\begin{pmatrix}0 & I\\ -I & 0\end{pmatrix}$, we have by simple computation,

$$J\begin{bmatrix}Z\\ I\end{bmatrix}:=J\left[\begin{pmatrix}Z\\ I\end{pmatrix}\right]=({}^tZ\ I)J\begin{pmatrix}Z\\ I\end{pmatrix}={}^tZ-Z$$

and

$$J\left\{\begin{matrix}Z\\ I\end{matrix}\right\}:=J\left\{\begin{pmatrix}Z\\ I\end{pmatrix}\right\}=({}^tZ\ I)J\begin{pmatrix}\bar{Z}\\ I\end{pmatrix}={}^tZ-\bar{Z}.$$

These show that

$${}^tZ=Z\text{ if and only if }J\begin{bmatrix}Z\\ I\end{bmatrix}=0,$$

and when $J\begin{bmatrix} Z \\ I \end{bmatrix} = 0$, we have

$$\mathrm{Im}Z > 0 \text{ if and only if } \frac{1}{2i}J\begin{Bmatrix} Z \\ I \end{Bmatrix} > 0.$$

Thus we can write

$$\mathbb{H}_2 = \left\{ Z \in \mathbb{C}^{(2,2)} \mid \ J\begin{bmatrix} Z \\ I \end{bmatrix} = 0,\ \frac{1}{2i}J\begin{Bmatrix} Z \\ I \end{Bmatrix} > 0 \right\},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbb{H}}_2 &= \left\{ Z \in \mathbb{C}^{(2,2)} \mid \ J\begin{bmatrix} Z \\ I \end{bmatrix} = 0,\ \frac{1}{2i}J\begin{Bmatrix} Z \\ I \end{Bmatrix} > 0,\ qZ = Zq \right\} \\ &= \left\{ Z \in \mathbb{C}^{(2,2)} \mid \ qZ = Zq,\ \frac{1}{2i}J\begin{Bmatrix} Z \\ I \end{Bmatrix} > 0 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here the last equality comes from the fact that $qZ = Zq$ implies $J\begin{bmatrix} Z \\ I \end{bmatrix} = 0$. As for the action group $\hat{\Omega}_2$ (sometimes also called motion group) of $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Omega}_2 &= \{ M \in \Omega_2 \mid \ QM = \pm MQ = \varepsilon MQ \} \\ &= \left\{ M \in \mathbb{R}^{(4,4)} \mid J[M] = J,\ J\{M\} = J,\ QM = \varepsilon MQ \right\} \\ &= \left\{ M \in \mathbb{R}^{(4,4)} \mid J[M] = J,\ QM = \varepsilon MQ \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Further, for $M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \hat{\Omega}_2,\ Z \in \hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$, by putting $U := AZ + B,\ V := CZ + D$, then $M\begin{pmatrix} Z \\ I \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} Z \\ I \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} U \\ V \end{pmatrix}$. So

$$J\begin{bmatrix} U \\ V \end{bmatrix} = J\left[\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} Z \\ I \end{pmatrix}\right] = (Z\ I)\ {}^tMJM\begin{pmatrix} Z \\ I \end{pmatrix} = J\begin{bmatrix} Z \\ I \end{bmatrix} = 0,$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2i}J\begin{Bmatrix} U \\ V \end{Bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2i}J\left\{\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} Z \\ I \end{pmatrix}\right\} = \frac{1}{2i}(Z\ I)\ {}^tMJM\begin{pmatrix} \bar{Z} \\ I \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2i}J\begin{Bmatrix} Z \\ I \end{Bmatrix} > 0.$$

Thus

$${}^tUV - \ {}^tVU = 0,\ \frac{1}{2i}({}^tU\bar{V} - \ {}^tV\bar{U}) > 0.$$

Now we come to establish a transformation which is similar to the so-called "conformal transformation" of the plane of complex numbers. We claim at first that $Z+iI$ is invertible for any $Z \in \hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$. In fact, for any $v = v^{(2,1)} \in \mathbb{C}^{(2,1)}$ such that $(Z + iI)v = 0$, one has $-iv = Zv,\ i\bar{v} = \bar{Z}\bar{v},\ -i\ {}^tv = \ {}^tv\ {}^tZ = \ {}^tvZ$. So

$$\frac{1}{2i}(Z - \bar{Z})\{v\} = \frac{1}{2i}\ {}^tv(Z - \bar{Z})\bar{v} = \frac{1}{2i}(\ {}^tvZ\bar{v} - \ {}^tv\bar{Z}\bar{v}) = \frac{1}{2i}(-i\ {}^tv\bar{v} - i\ {}^tv\bar{v}) = -\ {}^tv\bar{v} \le 0.$$

On the other hand, since ImZ is positive definite, we have

$$\frac{1}{2i}(Z-\bar{Z})\{v\} = {}^t v(\mathrm{Im}Z)\bar{v} \geq 0.$$

The combination of the above yields $\frac{1}{2i}(Z-\bar{Z})\{v\}=0$, so gives rise to $v=0$. This then proves the invertibility of the matrix $Z+iI$ as being stated above. Now we can define the above mentioned "conformal transformation" of $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$ into $\mathbb{C}^{(2,2)}$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\psi: \quad & \hat{\mathbb{H}}_2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{(2,2)} \\ & Z \longmapsto Z_0 := \psi(Z) := (Z-iI)(Z+iI)^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

From this definition it is not hard to see that Z_0 is bisymmetric and $I-Z_0\bar{Z}_0$ is positive definite and Hermitian. The first thing is because $qZ_0 = Z_0 q$ which follows easily from $qZ = Zq$. The second is a consequence of the relevant arguments of Siegel []. Thus we are naturally led to define a domain $\hat{E}_2$ in $\mathbb{C}^{(2,2)}$ as follows

$$\hat{E}_2 := \left\{ Z_0 \in \mathbb{C}^{(2,2)} \mid \ qZ_0 = Z_0 q, \ I - Z_0\bar{Z}_0 > 0 \right\}. \tag{10}$$

And (9) maps $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$ into $\hat{E}_2$. Conversely, if $Z_0 \in \hat{E}_2$, then Siegel [] has proved that $I-Z_0$ is invertible. Thus we can also define the following map

$$\begin{aligned}\phi: \quad & \hat{E}_2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{(2,2)} \\ & Z_0 \longmapsto Z := \phi(Z_0) := i(I+Z_0)(I-Z_0)^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

By this definition it is also easy to prove that $Z \in \hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$ for any $Z_0 \in \hat{E}_2$, thus (11) maps $\hat{E}_2$ into $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$. Further, direct computations show that the composition of (9) with (11) is the identity mapping of $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$ and the composition of (11) with (9) is the identity mapping of $\hat{E}_2$. Hence the mappings (9) and (11) are all invertible and they are inverse mappings of each other, and whence both of them are one-to-one correspondence. Therefore the domain $\hat{E}_2$ defined by (10) can be served as another formulation of our Siegel upper half space $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$. In particular, one has

$$\phi(0) = \psi^{-1}(0) = iI, \ \psi(iI) = \phi^{-1}(iI) = 0.$$

Next, we come to consider the action group on $\hat{E}_2$ corresponding to $\hat{\Omega}_2$. First of all, we define

$$L := \begin{pmatrix} iI & iI \\ -I & I \end{pmatrix}, \ R := \begin{pmatrix} -I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that there holds

$$\begin{aligned} & J[L] = {}^t LJL = 2iJ, \ J[L^{-1}] = \frac{1}{2i}J, \ QL = LQ, \\ & \frac{1}{2i}J\{L\} = \frac{1}{2i}\,{}^t LJ\bar{L} = \begin{pmatrix} -I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} = R. \end{aligned}$$

Then for any $M \in \hat{\Omega}_2$, we define a corresponding matrix $M_0 \in \mathbb{C}^{(4,4)}$ by

$$M_0 := \begin{pmatrix} A_0 & B_0 \\ C_0 & D_0 \end{pmatrix} := L^{-1}ML,$$

where A_0, B_0, C_0, $D_0 \in \mathbb{C}^{(2,2)}$, and we put

$$\hat{\Omega}_{\hat{E}_2} := L^{-1}\hat{\Omega}_2 L = \left\{ M_0 = L^{-1}ML \mid M \in \hat{\Omega}_2 \right\}. \tag{12}$$

Notice also that (11) is indeed the one to one correspondence

$$\begin{aligned} L: \ & \hat{E}_2 \longrightarrow \hat{\mathbb{H}}_2 \\ & Z_0 \longmapsto Z = i(I+Z_0)(I-Z_0)^{-1} = L < Z_0 > . \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

This in combination with (1) implies that for any $Z_0 \in \hat{E}_2$ there holds

$$M_0 < Z_0 >= (L^{-1}ML) < Z_0 >= L^{-1}M < Z >= L^{-1} < W > .$$

This shows that $W_0 := M_0 < Z_0 >$ is an element of $\hat{E}_2$, by noting that $W = M < Z >$ is in $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$ since Z is. Thus if we note also that $\hat{\Omega}_{\hat{E}_2}$ is a group with matrices multiplication, then it can be checked easily that we have defined a group action of $\hat{\Omega}_{\hat{E}_2}$ on $\hat{E}_2$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \hat{\Omega}_{\hat{E}_2} \times \hat{E}_2 \longrightarrow \hat{E}_2 \\ & (M_0,\ Z_0) \longmapsto W_0 = M_0 < Z_0 > . \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

To have a better understanding of the group $\hat{\Omega}_{\hat{E}_2}$ defined by (12), we need to give a more explicit expression of it. To this end, we first note that by (8) one can derive easily that

$$\hat{\Omega}_2 = \left\{ M \in \mathbb{C}^{(4,4)} \mid J[M] = J,\ J\{M\} = J,\ QM = \varepsilon MQ \right\}.$$

Thus for our purpose we only need to transform the constrains on M in this expression to that on M_0 in (12). This can be done directly from $M = LM_0L^{-1}$. In deed, it is not difficult to find by direct computations that $J[M] = J$, $J\{M\} = J$ and $QM = \varepsilon MQ$ are equivalent to $J[M_0] = J$, $R\{M_0\} = R$ and $QM_0 = \varepsilon M_0 Q$ respectively. Thus we have

$$\hat{\Omega}_{\hat{E}_2} = L^{-1}\hat{\Omega}_2 L = \{ M_0 \in \mathbb{C}^{(4,4)} \mid J[M_0] = J,\ R\{M_0\} = R,\ QM_0 = \varepsilon M_0 Q \}. \tag{15}$$

Further, if we let

$$F := JR = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

then for any invertible matrix $M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} A_0 & B_0 \\ C_0 & D_0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{(4,4)}$ with A_0, B_0, C_0, $D_0 \in \mathbb{C}^{(2,2)}$ we can verify easily that

$$M_0^{-1}F\bar{M}_0 = F \ \text{ iff } \ F\bar{M}_0 = M_0 F \ \text{ iff } \ C_0 = \bar{B}_0,\ D_0 = \bar{A}_0.$$

And, for this kind of M_0, we can also verify that $R\{M_0\} = R$ together with $J[M_0] = J$ implies $F\bar{M}_0 = M_0 F$. This proves that

$$\hat{\Omega}_{\hat{E}_2} \subseteq \left\{ M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} A_0 & B_0 \\ \bar{B}_0 & \bar{A}_0 \end{pmatrix} \middle| \ A_0,\, B_0 \in \mathbb{C}^{(2,2)},\ J[M_0] = J,\ QM_0 = \varepsilon M_0 Q \right\}.$$

Conversely, for any invertible matrix $M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} A_0 & B_0 \\ \bar{B}_0 & \bar{A}_0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{(4,4)}$ with $J[M_0] = J$, one can verify easily from $F\bar{M}_0 = M_0 F$ that $R\{M_0\} = R$. This proves that

$$\left\{ M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} A_0 & B_0 \\ \bar{B}_0 & \bar{A}_0 \end{pmatrix} \middle| \, A_0,\, B_0 \in \mathbb{C}^{(2,2)},\, J[M_0] = J,\, QM_0 = \varepsilon M_0 Q \right\} \subseteq \hat{\Omega}_{\hat{E}_2}.$$

Gathering together the above we therefore obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Omega}_{\hat{E}_2} &= \left\{ M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} A_0 & B_0 \\ \bar{B}_0 & \bar{A}_0 \end{pmatrix} \middle| \, A_0,\, B_0 \in \mathbb{C}^{(2,2)},\, J[M_0] = J,\, QM_0 = \varepsilon M_0 Q \right\} \\ &= \left\{ M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} A_0 & B_0 \\ \bar{B}_0 & \bar{A}_0 \end{pmatrix} \middle| \, A_0,\, B_0 \in \mathbb{C}^{(2,2)},\, A_0\, {}^t\bar{A}_0 - B_0\, {}^t\bar{B}_0 = I,\, A_0\, {}^tB_0 = B_0\, {}^tA_0,\, QM_0 = \varepsilon M_0 Q \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Next, we come to give a purely algebraic lemma which will be useful for our further arguments.

Lemma 2. *Suppose that* $K = \begin{pmatrix} k_1 & k_2 \\ k_2 & k_1 \end{pmatrix}$ *is a positive definite real matrix of order* 2*, then there exists a invertible real matrix* $K_0 = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ x_3 & x_4 \end{pmatrix}$ *of order* 2 *such that*

$$K_0 K\, {}^tK_0 = I, \quad qK_0 = \varepsilon K_0 q$$

with $\varepsilon = \pm 1$.

Proof. First of all, from the positive definiteness of K we see that there holds $k_1 > |k_2|$. So we separate the proof into two cases according to $k_2 = 0$ or not. If $k_2 = 0$, the result is obvious by taking $K_0 = \pm k_1^{-1/2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon \end{pmatrix}$ or $K_0 = \pm k_1^{-1/2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \varepsilon & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. As for the case of $k_2 \neq 0$, we note at first that the condition $qK_0 = \varepsilon K_0 q$ is equivalent to K_0 being of the form $K_0 = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ \varepsilon x_2 & \varepsilon x_1 \end{pmatrix}$ by direct computation. Thus to prove the lemma, we are led to consider the solvability of the matrix equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ \varepsilon x_2 & \varepsilon x_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} k_1 & k_2 \\ k_2 & k_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & \varepsilon x_2 \\ x_2 & \varepsilon x_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and again by direct computations, this can be shown to be equivalent to the solvability of the system of the algebraic equations

$$\begin{cases} k_1 x_1^2 + 2k_2 x_1 x_2 + k_1 x_2^2 = 1, \\ k_2 x_1^2 + 2k_1 x_1 x_2 + k_2 x_2^2 = 0. \end{cases}$$

However, this is clearly true since on noting $k_1 > |k_2|$ and $k_2 \neq 0$ one can easily give the solutions of the system of the equations as follows

$$\begin{cases} x_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\varepsilon_1 (k_1 + k_2)^{-1/2} + \varepsilon_2 (k_1 - k_2)^{-1/2} \right) \\ x_2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\varepsilon_1 (k_1 + k_2)^{-1/2} - \varepsilon_2 (k_1 - k_2)^{-1/2} \right) \end{cases}$$

where $\varepsilon_1 = \pm 1$, $\varepsilon_2 = \pm 1$. The proof of Lemma 2 is complete.

Now we can state the main theorem in this section.

Theorem 2. *The action of $\hat{\Omega}_{\hat{E}_2}$ on $\hat{E}_2$ is transitive, so is the action of $\hat{\Omega}_2$ on $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$.*

Proof. For the first assertion we only need to prove that for any $Z_0 \in \hat{E}_2$ it is in the same orbit of $0 \in \hat{E}_2$. Put $K = I - Z_0\bar{Z}_0$, which is clearly real, positive definite, and bisymmetric. So by Lemma 2 there exists an invertible real matrix A_0 such that $A_0\left(I - Z_0\bar{Z}_0\right)\ {}^tA_0 = A_0K\ {}^tA_0 = I$ and $qA_0 = \varepsilon A_0 q$. Thus if we let $B_0 = -A_0Z_0$, then by (16) it is easy to verify that the matrix $M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} A_0 & B_0 \\ \bar{B}_0 & \bar{A}_0 \end{pmatrix}$ is in $\hat{\Omega}_{\hat{E}_2}$. Also, by the definition of B_0 we clearly have $M_0 < Z_0 >= (A_0Z_0 + B_0)(\bar{B}_0Z_0 + \bar{A}_0)^{-1} = 0$. That is, for any given $Z_0 \in \hat{E}_2$, there does exist $M_0 \in \hat{\Omega}_{\hat{E}_2}$ such that $M_0 < Z_0 >= 0$ as desired by the first assertion of our Theorem. To prove the second assertion, we first take an arbitrary element $Z \in \hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$ and put $Z_0 = L^{-1} < Z >$. Then by the first assertion we can take an $M_0 \in \hat{\Omega}_{\hat{E}_2}$ such that $M_0 < Z_0 >= 0$. Now by taking $M = LM_0L^{-1}$, which is clearly in $\hat{\Omega}_2$, we can obtain $M < Z >= iI$ by using the action of L to both sides of $M_0 < Z_0 >= 0$. This proves that Z is in the orbit of $iI \in \hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$ as desired. And thus the proof of Theorem 2 is complete.

We now take a step further to consider the stability group of a point Z_0 in $\hat{E}_2$ under the action of $\hat{\Omega}_{\hat{E}_2}$, and then that of a point Z in $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$ under the action of $\hat{\Omega}_2$. For any $Z_0 \in \hat{E}_2$, we use $S^{(1)}_{Z_0}$ to denote its stability group in $\hat{\Omega}_{\hat{E}_2}$, that is, we define

$$S^{(1)}_{Z_0} := \left\{ M_0 \in \hat{\Omega}_{\hat{E}_2} \middle|\ M_0 < Z_0 >= Z_0 \right\}. \tag{17}$$

In particular, we have

$$S^{(1)}_{0} := \left\{ M_0 \in \hat{\Omega}_{\hat{E}_2} \middle|\ M_0 < 0 >= 0 \right\}. \tag{18}$$

By Theorem 2 we know that there exists an $M_1 \in \hat{\Omega}_{\hat{E}_2}$ such that $M_1 < 0 >= Z_0$, so the latter set in (18) does has general meaning as the former set in (17). More precisely, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 1. *Let M_1 be an element in $\hat{\Omega}_{\hat{E}_2}$ such that $M_1 < 0 >= Z_0$. Then we have*

$$S^{(1)}_{Z_0} = M_1 S^{(1)}_0 M_1^{-1} = \left\{ M_1 M_0 M_1^{-1} \middle|\ M_0 \in S^{(1)}_0 \right\}. \tag{19}$$

In particular, the right hand side of (19) *is irrelevant to the choice of M_1 with $M_1 < 0 >= Z_0$.*

Proof. This is just a direct consequence of the definition of the stability group $S^{(1)}_{Z_0}$ together with the definition of group action.

Based on Proposition 1, to understand more about the stability group $S^{(1)}_{Z_0}$, one only needs to know more about $S^{(1)}_0$. As for this, we have the following

Proposition 2. *Let $S^{(1)}_0$ be defined as in* (18)*. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} S^{(1)}_0 &= \left\{ M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} A_0 & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{A}_0 \end{pmatrix} \middle|\ A_0 \in \mathbb{C}^{(2,2)},\ A_0\ {}^t\bar{A}_0 = 1,\ qA_0 = \varepsilon A_0 q \right\} \\ &= \left\{ M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} A_0 & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{A}_0 \end{pmatrix} \middle|\ A_0 = \begin{pmatrix} (\xi_1 + \xi_2)/2 & (\xi_1 - \xi_2)/2 \\ \varepsilon(\xi_1 - \xi_2)/2 & \varepsilon(\xi_1 + \xi_2)/2 \end{pmatrix}, \xi_1,\ \xi_2 \in \mathbb{C},\ |\xi_1| = |\xi_2| = 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

And thus the action of an element $M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} A_0 & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{A}_0 \end{pmatrix}$ *in* $S_0^{(1)}$ *on a point* Z_0 *in* $\hat{E}_2$ *becomes*

$$W_0 := M_0 < Z_0 >= A_0 Z_0 \bar{A}_0^{-1} = {}^t U Z_0 U,$$

where $U = {}^t A_0 = \bar{A}_0^{-1}$ *is a unitary matrix satisfying* $qU = \varepsilon U q$.

Proof. The first equality for $S_0^{(1)}$ comes directly from the definition together with the observation that $QM_0 = \varepsilon M_0 Q$ is equivalent to $qA_0 = \varepsilon A_0 q$ if $M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} A_0 & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{A}_0 \end{pmatrix}$. To prove the second equality, we first note that the condition $qA_0 = \varepsilon A_0 q$ on A_0 is equal to the assumption that A_0 is of the form $A_0 = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \varepsilon b & \varepsilon a \end{pmatrix}$ with a, $b \in \mathbb{C}$. For this kind of A_0, the condition $A_0 \, {}^t\bar{A}_0 = 1$ becomes both of the conditions $|a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1$ and $a\bar{b} + b\bar{a} = 0$, which is equivalent to $|a+b|^2 = |a-b|^2 = 1$. Then denoting $\xi_1 = a + b$ and $\xi_2 = a - b$ we arrive at $a = (\xi_1 + \xi_2)/2$ and $b = (\xi_1 - \xi_2)/2$ with $|\xi_1| = |\xi_2| = 1$ as desired. The proof of Proposition 2 is complete.

We now turn to the case of the action $\hat{\Omega}_2$ on $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$. Similar to (17), for any $Z \in \hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$ we define the stability group of Z by

$$S_Z^{(2)} := \left\{ M \in \hat{\Omega}_2 \middle| \; M < Z >= Z \right\}. \tag{20}$$

And in particular

$$S_{iI}^{(2)} := \left\{ M \in \hat{\Omega}_2 \middle| \; M < iI >= iI \right\}. \tag{21}$$

Then by the mapping (13) we can transform the above conclusions to the following corresponding results without any difficulty.

Proposition 1′. *For any given* $Z \in \hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$, *let* M_2 *be an element in* $\hat{\Omega}_2$ *such that* $M_2 < iI >= Z$. *Then we have*

$$S_Z^{(2)} = M_2 S_{iI}^{(2)} M_2^{-1} = \left\{ M_2 M M_2^{-1} \middle| \; M \in S_{iI}^{(2)} \right\}. \tag{22}$$

In particular, the right hand side of (22) *is irrelevant to the choice of* M_2 *with* $M_2 < iI >= Z$.

Proposition 2′. *Let* $S_{iI}^{(2)}$ *be defined as in* (21). *Then we have*

$$S_{iI}^{(2)} = L S_0^{(1)} L^{-1} = \left\{ L M_0 L^{-1} \middle| \; M_0 \in S_0^{(1)} \right\},$$

where $S_0^{(1)}$ *is as in Proposition* 2. *And the action of an element* $M = L M_0 L^{-1}$ *in* $S_{iI}^{(2)}$, *with* M_0 *being of the form* $M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} A_0 & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{A}_0 \end{pmatrix}$, *on a point* Z *in* $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$, *is given by the formula*

$$L^{-1} < W >= A_0 \left(L^{-1} < Z > \right) \bar{A}_0^{-1} = {}^t U \left(L^{-1} < Z > \right) U,$$

or identically,

$$(W - iI)(W + iI)^{-1} = {}^t U (Z - iI)(Z + iI)^{-1} U,$$

where $U = {}^tA_0 = \bar{A}_0^{-1}$ *is again a unitary matrix satisfying* $qU = \varepsilon Uq$.

Remark 2. At the end of this section we remark that for each $M_0 \in \hat{\Omega}_{\hat{E}_2}$ it is not difficult to see the mapping $W_0 = M_0 < Z_0 >$ from $\hat{E}_2$ onto itself is bi-holomorphic when $\hat{E}_2$ is considered as a domain of $\mathbb{C}^{(1,2)} = \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$. In particularly, to each $M_0 \in S_0^{(1)}$ there corresponds to a bi-holomorphic mapping $W_0 = M_0 < Z_0 >$ from $\hat{E}_2$ onto itself with the fixed point $0 \in \hat{E}_2$. So $S_0^{(1)}$ can be viewed as a set of all bi-holomorphic mappings $W_0 = M_0 < Z_0 >$ with the fixed point $0 \in \hat{E}_2$ when $M_0 \in \hat{\Omega}_{\hat{E}_2}$, and Proposition 2 gives a description of this kind of mappings. Accordingly, for each $M \in \hat{\Omega}_2$ the mapping $W = M < Z >$ from $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$ onto itself is bi-holomorphic when $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$ is considered as a domain of $\mathbb{C}^{(1,2)} = \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$, and thus Proposition $2'$ can be viewed as a formulation of all the bi-holomorphic mappings $W = M < Z >$ with the fixed point $iI \in \hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$ when $M \in \hat{\Omega}_2$. Now, an important converse question arises: what can we say about a general bi-holomorphic mapping from $\hat{E}_2$ (resp. $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$) onto itself, or more precisely, does every bi-holomorphic mapping from $\hat{E}_2$ (resp. $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$) onto itself has the form of $W_0 = M_0 < Z_0 >$ (resp. $W = M < Z >$)? Similar to Siegel [S2], by the relevant results in the preceding sections, this is equivalent to asking specifically, wether or not are all the bi-holomorphic mappings from $\hat{E}_2$ (resp. $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$) onto itself with the fixed point $0 \in \hat{E}_2$ (resp. $iI \in \hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$) contained in $S_0^{(1)}$ (resp. $S_{iI}^{(2)}$)? These will be answered in the following section.

4. The group of bi-holomorphic mappings

We first state a classical well known result from the analysis of several complex variables.

Lemma 3. *Assume that* (r_1, r_2) *is a permutation of* $(1, 2)$. *Let* $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ *satisfy* $|a_1| < 1$, $|a_2| < 1$. *Put* $D := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \ : \ |z| < 1\}$. *Then for any bi-holomorphic mapping*

$$\begin{aligned} f: \ & D \times D \to D \times D \\ & (z_1, z_2) \mapsto (w_1, w_2) \end{aligned}$$

satisfying $f(a_1, a_2) = f(0, 0)$, *it must be of the following form*

$$w_1 = e^{i\theta_1} \frac{z_{r_1} - a_{r_1}}{1 - \bar{a}_{r_1} z_{r_1}}, \quad w_2 = e^{i\theta_2} \frac{z_{r_2} - a_{r_2}}{1 - \bar{a}_{r_2} z_{r_2}},$$

where θ_1, θ_2 *are real parameters depending only on* f *and satisfying* $0 \le \theta_1, \theta_2 < 2\pi$.

Proof. For a proof, one can see for example [H1].

The main result in this section is the following

Theorem 3. *Every bi-holomorphic mapping from* $\hat{E}_2$ *(resp.* $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$*) onto itself has the form of* $W_0 = M_0 < Z_0 >$ *(resp.* $W = M < Z >$*). So in view of Lemma 1, we can assert that the group of all bi-holomorphic mappings from* $\hat{E}_2$ *(resp.* $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$*) onto itself is exactly the quotient group* $\hat{\Omega}_2/\{\pm I, \pm Q\}$ *(resp.* $\hat{\Omega}_{\hat{E}_2}/\{\pm I, \pm Q\}$*).*

Proof. First of all, we note that, as pointed out at the end of last section, to prove the theorem, we only need to prove the following

Statement: every bi-holomorphic mapping f from $\hat{E}_2$ onto itself with the fixed point $0 \in \hat{E}_2$ is contained in $S_0^{(1)}$, that is, by Proposition 2, f is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} f: \ & \hat{E}_2 \longrightarrow \hat{E}_2 \\ & Z_0 \longmapsto f(Z_0) = {}^tUZ_0U, \end{aligned}$$

where U is a unitary constant matrix satisfying $qU = \varepsilon Uq$.

To prove the statement, we at first recall that by definition $p = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, and hence ${}^t p = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = p^{-1}$. So for any $Z_0 = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & z_2 \\ z_2 & z_1 \end{pmatrix} \in \hat{E}_2$ we have

$$ {}^t p Z_0 p = p^{-1} Z_0 p = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 + z_2 & 0 \\ 0 & z_1 - z_2 \end{pmatrix}, $$

and

$$ {}^t p(I - Z_0\bar{Z}_0)p = I - p^{-1}Z_0 p p^{-1}\bar{Z}_0 p = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - |z_1 + z_2|^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 - |z_1 - z_2|^2 \end{pmatrix}. $$

In particular, it is easy to see that the condition $I - Z_0\bar{Z}_0 > 0$, or ${}^t p(I - Z_0\bar{Z}_0)p > 0$ on Z_0, is equivalent to both of the conditions $1 - |z_1 + z_2|^2 > 0$ and $1 - |z_1 - z_2|^2 > 0$. Thus we can define a map σ from $\hat{E}_2$ to $D \times D$ by

$$ \begin{aligned} \sigma :\ \hat{E}_2 &\longrightarrow\ D \times D \\ Z_0 = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & z_2 \\ z_2 & z_1 \end{pmatrix} &\longmapsto\ \sigma(Z_0) := (z_1 + z_2, z_1 - z_2) = (\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2), \end{aligned} \tag{23} $$

where $\hat{z}_1 = z_1 + z_2$, $\hat{z}_2 = z_1 - z_2$. And it is not difficult to verify that σ is bi-holomorphic and maps the zero $0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \hat{E}_2$ to the zero $0 = (0,\, 0) \in D \times D$. Now define $\hat{f}$ to be $\hat{f} := \sigma f \sigma^{-1}$. It is clear to find that $\hat{f}$ is a bi-holomorphic mapping from $D \times D$ onto itself with the fixed point $0 = (0, 0)$. Thus by Lemma 3 with $a_1 = a_2 = 0$ we see that $\hat{f}$ must be one of the following two forms:

$$ \hat{f}(\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2) = (\xi_1 \hat{z}_1,\ \xi_2 \hat{z}_2), $$

or

$$ \hat{f}(\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2) = (\xi_1 \hat{z}_2,\ \xi_2 \hat{z}_1), $$

where $(\hat{z}_1,\, \hat{z}_2)$ denotes the variables in $D \times D$, and ξ_1 and ξ_2 are complex constant parameters depending only on $\hat{f}$ (so only on f) and satisfying $|\xi_1| = |\xi_2| = 1$. Using $W_0 = \begin{pmatrix} w_1 & w_2 \\ w_2 & w_1 \end{pmatrix}$ to denote $f(Z_0)$ and noting $\hat{f} = \sigma f \sigma^{-1}$, we can transform this to that of f and obtain

$$ \begin{aligned} w_1 &= \frac{\xi_1 \hat{z}_1 + \xi_2 \hat{z}_2}{2} = \frac{\xi_1 + \xi_2}{2} z_1 + \frac{\xi_1 - \xi_2}{2} z_2, \\ w_2 &= \frac{\xi_1 \hat{z}_1 - \xi_2 \hat{z}_2}{2} = \frac{\xi_1 - \xi_2}{2} z_1 + \frac{\xi_1 + \xi_2}{2} z_2 \end{aligned} $$

in the former case, and

$$ \begin{aligned} w_1 &= \frac{\xi_1 + \xi_2}{2} z_1 - \frac{\xi_1 - \xi_2}{2} z_2, \\ w_2 &= \frac{\xi_1 - \xi_2}{2} z_1 - \frac{\xi_1 + \xi_2}{2} z_2 \end{aligned} $$

in the latter case. Both of them can clearly be unified to the form

$$\begin{aligned} w_1 &= \frac{\xi_1+\xi_2}{2}z_1 + \varepsilon\frac{\xi_1-\xi_2}{2}z_2, \\ w_2 &= \frac{\xi_1-\xi_2}{2}z_1 + \varepsilon\frac{\xi_1+\xi_2}{2}z_2 \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

with $\varepsilon = \pm 1$. Now we take $\eta_1 = \xi_1^{1/2}$ and $\eta_2 = \xi_2^{1/2}$ to be some fixed square-roots of ξ_1 and ξ_2 respectively, then put $u_1 = \frac{\eta_1+\eta_2}{2},\ u_2 = \frac{\eta_1-\eta_2}{2}$, and set

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & u_2 \\ \varepsilon u_2 & \varepsilon u_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to see that η_1 and η_2 are complex constant parameters depending only on f and satisfying $|\eta_1| = |\eta_2| = 1$. So U is a complex constant matrix depending only on f. And direct computations show that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{U}\ {}^tU &= \begin{pmatrix} \bar{u}_1 & \bar{u}_2 \\ \varepsilon\bar{u}_2 & \varepsilon\bar{u}_1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} u_1 & \varepsilon u_2 \\ u_2 & \varepsilon u_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} |u_1|^2 + |u_2|^2 & u_1\bar{u}_2 + u_2\bar{u}_1 \\ u_1\bar{u}_2 + u_2\bar{u}_1 & |u_1|^2 + |u_2|^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \left|\frac{\eta_1+\eta_2}{2}\right|^2 + \left|\frac{\eta_1-\eta_2}{2}\right|^2 & \frac{\eta_1+\eta_2}{2}\frac{\bar{\eta}_1-\bar{\eta}_2}{2} + \frac{\bar{\eta}_1+\bar{\eta}_2}{2}\frac{\eta_1-\eta_2}{2} \\ \frac{\eta_1+\eta_2}{2}\frac{\bar{\eta}_1-\bar{\eta}_2}{2} + \frac{\bar{\eta}_1+\bar{\eta}_2}{2}\frac{\eta_1-\eta_2}{2} & \left|\frac{\eta_1+\eta_2}{2}\right|^2 + \left|\frac{\eta_1-\eta_2}{2}\right|^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = I, \end{aligned}$$

which proves that U is unitary. Further, in view of

$$u_1^2 + u_2^2 = \left(\frac{\eta_1+\eta_2}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\eta_1-\eta_2}{2}\right)^2 = \frac{\xi_1+\xi_2}{2}$$

and

$$2u_1u_2 = 2\left(\frac{\eta_1+\eta_2}{2}\right)\left(\frac{\eta_1-\eta_2}{2}\right) = \frac{\xi_1-\xi_2}{2},$$

and then (24), it can be verified directly that

$$\begin{aligned} {}^tUZ_0U &= \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & \varepsilon u_2 \\ u_2 & \varepsilon u_1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} z_1 & z_2 \\ z_2 & z_1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} u_1 & u_2 \\ \varepsilon u_2 & \varepsilon u_1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} u_1z_1 + \varepsilon u_2z_2 & u_1z_2 + \varepsilon u_2z_1 \\ u_2z_1 + \varepsilon u_1z_2 & \varepsilon u_1z_1 + u_2z_2 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} u_1 & u_2 \\ \varepsilon u_2 & \varepsilon u_1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \left(u_1^2+u_2^2\right)z_1 + 2\varepsilon u_1u_2z_2 & \varepsilon\left(u_1^2+u_2^2\right)z_2 + 2u_1u_2z_1 \\ \varepsilon\left(u_1^2+u_2^2\right)z_2 + 2u_1u_2z_1 & \left(u_1^2+u_2^2\right)z_1 + 2\varepsilon u_1u_2z_2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\xi_1+\xi_2}{2}z_1 + \varepsilon\frac{\xi_1-\xi_2}{2}z_2 & \frac{\xi_1-\xi_2}{2}z_1 + \varepsilon\frac{\xi_1+\xi_2}{2}z_2 \\ \frac{\xi_1-\xi_2}{2}z_1 + \varepsilon\frac{\xi_1+\xi_2}{2}z_2 & \frac{\xi_1+\xi_2}{2}z_1 + \varepsilon\frac{\xi_1-\xi_2}{2}z_2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} w_1 & w_2 \\ w_2 & w_1 \end{pmatrix} = W_0 = f(Z_0). \end{aligned}$$

Again, by the definition of U we can see plainly that $qU = \varepsilon Uq$. Now, gathering together the above, we complete the proof of the above statement, and so complete the proof of Theorem 3.

5. Reduced form for a pair of points of the space $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$

Recall that, in his remarkable paper [S2], based on the transitivity of the action of Ω_2 on the Siegel upper half space $\mathbb{H}_2$ of order 2, Siegel considered a simple reduced form of any pair of points in the space $\mathbb{H}_2$ under the action of the elements in Ω_2. More precisely, he proved that for any fixed pair of points $Z,\ Z_1 \in \mathbb{H}_2$, there exists an element $M \in \Omega_2$ such that both $M < Z_1 >= iI$ and $M < Z >= i\Lambda$ hold with Λ being real and diagonal, and satisfying $\Lambda \geq I$. Besides its independent interest from the geometric point of view, this result then became a powerful tool for the simplification of Siegel's relevant argument that follows. In this section, based on our Theorem 2, similar to Siegel[S2], we will take a step further to consider the reduced form of any pair of points in the space $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$ under the action of the elements in $\hat{\Omega}_2$. By philosophy and in view of the transitivity of the action of $\hat{\Omega}_2$ on $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$, this is roughly equal to finding a simple form of one point in $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$ under the transformation of action by element in $\hat{\Omega}_2$, which however must has the fixed point iI. Our result is the following

Theorem 4. *Suppose that $Z,\ Z_1$ is a fixed pair of points in $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$, then there exists an element $M \in \hat{\Omega}_2$ such that both $M < Z_1 >= iI$ and $M < Z >= i\Lambda$ hold with $\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 \\ \lambda_2 & \lambda_1 \end{pmatrix}$ being real and bi-symmetric, and satisfying $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 + 1,\ \lambda_2 \geq 0$. Moreover, Λ is unique in the sense that if there exists another element $M' \in \hat{\Omega}_2$ such that both $M' < Z_1 >= iI$ and $M' < Z >= i\Lambda'$ hold with Λ' having the same properties as that of Λ, then we have $\Lambda' = \Lambda$.*

Proof. By the transitivity of the action of $\hat{\Omega}_2$ on $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$, we can assume without loss of generality that $Z_1 = iI$. To see this, we only need to show that Theorem 4 is true if one assumes its validity when $Z_1 = iI$. In fact, for any given Z_1 and Z, by transitivity it is known that there exists $M_1 \in \hat{\Omega}_2$ such that $M_1 < Z_1 >= iI$. Put $M_1 < Z >= Z'$. Then Theorem 4 for the pair of points iI and Z' tells us that there exists $M_0 \in \hat{\Omega}_2$ such that $M_0 < iI >= iI$ and $M_0 < Z' >= i\Lambda$. Taking $M = M_0 M_1$, we can see easily that $M < Z_1 >= iI$ and $M < Z >= i\Lambda$. This is the existence part of Theorem 4 in general. To see the uniqueness, we assume there exist $M,\ M' \in \hat{\Omega}_2$ such that

$$M < Z_1 >= iI,\ M < Z >= i\Lambda$$

and

$$M' < Z_1 >= iI,\ M' < Z >= i\Lambda'.$$

These together with $M_1 < Z_1 >= iI$ and $M_1 < Z >= Z'$ lead to

$$MM_1^{-1} < iI >= iI,\ MM_1^{-1} < Z' >= i\Lambda$$

and

$$M'M_1^{-1} < iI >= iI,\ M'M_1^{-1} < Z' >= i\Lambda'.$$

And this implies $\Lambda = \Lambda'$ by the uniqueness part of Theorem 4 for the pair of numbers iI and $Z' = M_1 < Z >$, which is what we need. Now we come to prove Theorem 4 under the assumption that $Z_1 = iI$. First, consider the existence part. Recalling (13) and (23), we see that there exists a bijective mapping σL^{-1} from $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$ to $D \times D$ which maps iI to $(0,\ 0)$ in particular. Let $\left(\hat{z}_1^0,\ \hat{z}_2^0\right)$ denote the image of Z under this mapping, i.e.,

$\left(\hat{z}_1^0,\ \hat{z}_2^0\right) = \left(\sigma L^{-1}\right)(Z)$, and let $r_1 = \max\left\{\left|\hat{z}_1^0\right|,\ \left|\hat{z}_2^0\right|\right\}$, $r_2 = \min\left\{\left|\hat{z}_1^0\right|,\ \left|\hat{z}_2^0\right|\right\}$. Then in view of Lemma 3 we can take a bi-holomorphic function f with fixed point $(0,\ 0)$ from $D \times D$ to itself such that

$$f\left(\hat{z}_1^0,\ \hat{z}_2^0\right) = (r_1,\ r_2)\,. \tag{25}$$

Indeed, if we put $\hat{z}_j^0 = \left|\hat{z}_j^0\right| \mathrm{e}^{i\theta_j}$ for $1 \le j \le 2$ with $0 \le \theta_j < 2\pi$, then when $\left|\hat{z}_1^0\right| \ge \left|\hat{z}_2^0\right|$, f may be taken as

$$f\left(\hat{z}_1,\ \hat{z}_2\right) = \left(\mathrm{e}^{-i\theta_1}\hat{z}_1,\ \mathrm{e}^{-i\theta_2}\hat{z}_2\right),$$

and when $\left|\hat{z}_1^0\right| < \left|\hat{z}_2^0\right|$, f may be taken as

$$f\left(\hat{z}_1,\ \hat{z}_2\right) = \left(\mathrm{e}^{-i\theta_2}\hat{z}_2,\ \mathrm{e}^{-i\theta_1}\hat{z}_1\right),$$

where $(\hat{z}_1,\ \hat{z}_2)$ is an arbitrary point in $D \times D$. For this f, there corresponds to a bi-holomorphic mapping $g = L\sigma^{-1} f \sigma L^{-1}$ from $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$ to itself, which clearly fixes the point iI. And since f moves the point $\left(\hat{z}_1^0,\ \hat{z}_2^0\right)$ to $(r_1,\ r_2)$ in $D \times D$ by (25), we can see easily that g moves the corresponding point $Z = \left(\sigma L^{-1}\right)^{-1}\left(\hat{z}_1^0,\ \hat{z}_2^0\right)$ of $\left(\hat{z}_1^0,\ \hat{z}_2^0\right)$ to the corresponding point $\left(\sigma L^{-1}\right)^{-1}(r_1,\ r_2)$ of $(r_1,\ r_2)$ under the mapping σL^{-1}. Then we can take M to be an element in $\hat{\Omega}_2$, for which the action on $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$ is exactly identical to the mapping g. Thus M fixes iI, and by (23) and (13),

$$\begin{aligned} M < Z > = g(Z) &= \left(\sigma L^{-1}\right)^{-1}(r_1,\ r_2) = L\sigma^{-1}(r_1,\ r_2) = L\begin{pmatrix} \frac{r_1+r_2}{2} & \frac{r_1-r_2}{2} \\ \frac{r_1-r_2}{2} & \frac{r_1+r_2}{2} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= i\left(I + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{r_1+r_2}{2} & \frac{r_1-r_2}{2} \\ \frac{r_1-r_2}{2} & \frac{r_1+r_2}{2} \end{pmatrix}\right)\left(I - \begin{pmatrix} \frac{r_1+r_2}{2} & \frac{r_1-r_2}{2} \\ \frac{r_1-r_2}{2} & \frac{r_1+r_2}{2} \end{pmatrix}\right)^{-1} = i\Lambda, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda &= \left(I + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{r_1+r_2}{2} & \frac{r_1-r_2}{2} \\ \frac{r_1-r_2}{2} & \frac{r_1+r_2}{2} \end{pmatrix}\right)\left(I - \begin{pmatrix} \frac{r_1+r_2}{2} & \frac{r_1-r_2}{2} \\ \frac{r_1-r_2}{2} & \frac{r_1+r_2}{2} \end{pmatrix}\right)^{-1} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1-r_1r_2}{(1-r_1)(1-r_2)} & \frac{r_1-r_2}{(1-r_1)(1-r_2)} \\ \frac{r_1-r_2}{(1-r_1)(1-r_2)} & \frac{1-r_1r_2}{(1-r_1)(1-r_2)} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

which clearly satisfies the desired conditions for Λ in Theorem 4, and the existence part is proved. Next, turn to the proof of the uniqueness of Λ. So we assume there is another M' in $\hat{\Omega}_2$ such that $M' < iI >= iI$ and $M' < Z >= i\Lambda'$, and we are going to prove $\Lambda = \Lambda'$. From this assumption it can be seen easily that the action of the element $M'M^{-1} \in \hat{\Omega}_2$ fixes the point iI and satisfies $\left(M'M^{-1}\right) < i\Lambda >= i\Lambda'$. Thus by (13) we get a bi-holomorphic mapping $L^{-1}\left(M'M^{-1}\right)L$ from $\hat{E}_2$ onto itself such that

$$\left(L^{-1}\left(M'M^{-1}\right)L\right)\left\langle L^{-1}(i\Lambda)\right\rangle = L^{-1}(i\Lambda'),$$

which follows from $\left(M'M^{-1}\right) < i\Lambda >= i\Lambda'$. Hence by Theorem 3 we can get a unitary 2×2 matrix U with $qU = \varepsilon U q$ such that

$${}^tUL^{-1}(i\Lambda)U = L^{-1}(i\Lambda'). \tag{26}$$

Again by (13), we have by simple computations,

$$L^{-1}(i\Lambda)=\begin{pmatrix}\frac{\lambda_1^2-\lambda_2^2-1}{(\lambda_1+1)^2-\lambda_2^2} & \frac{2\lambda_2}{(\lambda_1+1)^2-\lambda_2^2}\\ \frac{2\lambda_2}{(\lambda_1+1)^2-\lambda_2^2} & \frac{\lambda_1^2-\lambda_2^2-1}{(\lambda_1+1)^2-\lambda_2^2}\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}\frac{r_1+r_2}{2} & \frac{r_1-r_2}{2}\\ \frac{r_1-r_2}{2} & \frac{r_1+r_2}{2}\end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$L^{-1}(i\Lambda')=\begin{pmatrix}\frac{\lambda'^2_1-\lambda'^2_2-1}{(\lambda'_1+1)^2-\lambda'^2_2} & \frac{2\lambda'_2}{(\lambda_1+1)^2-\lambda_2^2}\\ \frac{2\lambda'_2}{(\lambda_1+1)^2-\lambda_2^2} & \frac{\lambda'^2_1-\lambda'^2_2-1}{(\lambda'_1+1)^2-\lambda'^2_2}\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}\frac{r'_1+r'_2}{2} & \frac{r'_1-r'_2}{2}\\ \frac{r'_1-r'_2}{2} & \frac{r'_1+r'_2}{2}\end{pmatrix},$$

where we have used the symbols

$$\Lambda=\begin{pmatrix}\lambda_1 & \lambda_2\\ \lambda_2 & \lambda_1\end{pmatrix},\ \Lambda'=\begin{pmatrix}\lambda'_1 & \lambda'_2\\ \lambda'_2 & \lambda'_1\end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$r_1=\frac{\lambda_1+\lambda_2-1}{\lambda_1+\lambda_2+1},\ r_2=\frac{\lambda_1-\lambda_2-1}{\lambda_1-\lambda_2+1},\ r'_1=\frac{\lambda'_1+\lambda'_2-1}{\lambda'_1+\lambda'_2+1},\ r'_2=\frac{\lambda'_1-\lambda'_2-1}{\lambda'_1-\lambda'_2+1}.$$

Under these notations, (26) can thus be rewritten as

$${}^tU\begin{pmatrix}\frac{r_1+r_2}{2} & \frac{r_1-r_2}{2}\\ \frac{r_1-r_2}{2} & \frac{r_1+r_2}{2}\end{pmatrix}U=\begin{pmatrix}\frac{r'_1+r'_2}{2} & \frac{r'_1-r'_2}{2}\\ \frac{r'_1-r'_2}{2} & \frac{r'_1+r'_2}{2}\end{pmatrix},\tag{27}$$

and by the assumptions $\lambda_1\geq\lambda_2+1\geq 1$ and $\lambda'_1\geq\lambda'_2+1\geq 1$, we also have

$$0\leq r_2\leq r_1<1,\ 0\leq r'_2\leq r'_1<1,$$

and

$$\lambda_1=\frac{1-r_1r_2}{(1-r_1)(1-r_2)},\ \lambda_2=\frac{r_1-r_2}{(1-r_1)(1-r_2)},\ \lambda'_1=\frac{1-r'_1r'_2}{(1-r'_1)(1-r'_2)},\ \lambda'_2=\frac{r'_1-r'_2}{(1-r'_1)(1-r'_2)}.$$

So, to prove $\Lambda=\Lambda'$, it is sufficient to prove $r_1=r'_1$ and $r_2=r'_2$ from (27). We separate two cases according to $\varepsilon=1$ or $=-1$ to do this. If $\varepsilon=1$, then by $qU=Uq$ we see that $U=\begin{pmatrix}u_1 & u_2\\ u_2 & u_1\end{pmatrix}$ with $u_1,\ u_2\in\mathbb{C}$. And hence by ${}^tU\bar{U}=I$, we get

$$|u_1|^2+|u_2|^2=1,\ u_1\bar{u}_2+u_2\bar{u}_1=0.\tag{28}$$

Again, multiplying on both sides of (27) by ${}^tp=p^{-1}$ and p from the left and right respectively, and inserting pp^{-1} into the left-hand side of it behind ${}^tU$ and preceding U, we get

$${}^tp\ {}^tUpp^{-1}\begin{pmatrix}\frac{r_1+r_2}{2} & \frac{r_1-r_2}{2}\\ \frac{r_1-r_2}{2} & \frac{r_1+r_2}{2}\end{pmatrix}p\ {}^tpUp=p^{-1}\begin{pmatrix}\frac{r'_1+r'_2}{2} & \frac{r'_1-r'_2}{2}\\ \frac{r'_1-r'_2}{2} & \frac{r'_1+r'_2}{2}\end{pmatrix}p,$$

which gives

$$\begin{pmatrix}u_1+u_2 & 0\\ 0 & u_1-u_2\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}r_1 & 0\\ 0 & r_2\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}u_1+u_2 & 0\\ 0 & u_1-u_2\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}r'_1 & 0\\ 0 & r'_2\end{pmatrix}.$$

Whence we get

$$r_1(u_1+u_2)^2 = r_1',\ r_2(u_1-u_2)^2 = r_2'.$$

Taking absolute values on both sides of these two equalities, we then obtain by (28),

$$r_1' = r_1\,|u_1+u_2|^2 = r_1\left(|u_1|^2+|u_2|^2+u_1\bar{u}_2+u_2\bar{u}_1\right) = r_1,$$

and similarly

$$r_2' = r_2\,|u_1-u_2|^2 = r_2\left(|u_1|^2+|u_2|^2-u_1\bar{u}_2-u_2\bar{u}_1\right) = r_2,$$

as desired. If $\varepsilon=-1$, then by $qU=-Uq$ we see that $U=\begin{pmatrix} u_1 & u_2\\ -u_2 & -u_1\end{pmatrix}$ again with u_1 and u_2 being complex numbers. Also using ${}^tU\bar{U}=I$ we can get (28). Then again by (27) we can obtain by direct computations

$$r_1' = r_2(u_1+u_2)^2,\ r_2' = r_1(u_1-u_2)^2.$$

Then taking absolute values and using (28), we obtain $r_1'=r_2,\ r_2'=r_1$. This together with the conditions $0\le r_2\le r_1<1$ and $0\le r_2'\le r_1'<1$ gives rise to

$$r_1'\ge r_2' = r_1\ge r_2 = r_1',$$

which clearly implies $r_1'=r_1=r_2'=r_2$ again as desired. The proof of Theorem 4 is complete.

6. The symplectic metric for $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$

The main purpose of this section is to consider the existence and uniqueness, up to a positive constant multiple, of symplectic metric for the space $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$ invariant under the action of the group $\hat{\Omega}_2$. To this end, we first give a definition of the cross ratio of points in $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$ following Siegel[S2]. For any $Z,\ Z_1\in\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$, in view of $\mathrm{Im}Z>0$ and $\mathrm{Im}Z_1>0$, we can see easily that the matrix $(Z-\bar{Z}_1)$ is invertible. So we can define the matrix

$$\mathfrak{R}(Z,Z_1) := (Z-Z_1)(Z-\bar{Z}_1)^{-1}(\bar{Z}-\bar{Z}_1)(\bar{Z}-Z_1)^{-1}, \tag{29}$$

and call it the cross ratio of Z and Z_1. For any $M=\begin{pmatrix} A & B\\ C & D\end{pmatrix}\in\hat{\Omega}_2$, write

$$W = M<Z>,\ W_1 = M<Z_1>.$$

Then direct computation yields

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_1-Z &= (\,-I\ \ Z_1\,)\begin{pmatrix} Z\\ I\end{pmatrix} = (\,Z_1\ \ I\,)\begin{pmatrix} 0 & I\\ -I & 0\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} Z\\ I\end{pmatrix} = (\,Z_1\ \ I\,)\ {}^tMJM\begin{pmatrix} Z\\ I\end{pmatrix}\\
&= \left((\,Z_1\ \ I\,)\begin{pmatrix} {}^tA & {}^tC\\ {}^tB & {}^tD\end{pmatrix}\right)\begin{pmatrix} 0 & I\\ -I & 0\end{pmatrix}\left(\begin{pmatrix} A & B\\ C & D\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} Z\\ I\end{pmatrix}\right)\\
&= (\,Z_1\,{}^tA+{}^tB,\ Z_1\,{}^tC+{}^tD\,)\begin{pmatrix} 0 & I\\ -I & 0\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} AZ+B\\ CZ+D\end{pmatrix}\\
&= (\,-(Z_1\,{}^tC+{}^tD),\ Z_1\,{}^tA+{}^tB\,)\begin{pmatrix} AZ+B\\ CZ+D\end{pmatrix}\\
&= {}^t(AZ_1+B)\,(CZ+D)-{}^t(CZ_1+D)\,(AZ+B)\\
&= {}^t(CZ_1+D)(W_1-W)(CZ+D).
\end{aligned}$$

Here for the last equality we have used the symmetric property of ${}^t(CZ_1+D)(AZ_1+B)$ which follows from $q\,{}^t(CZ_1+D)(AZ_1+B) = {}^t(CZ_1+D)(AZ_1+B)q$. Using $\bar{Z}_1$ to replace Z_1 in the above equality, we have

$$\bar{Z}_1 - Z = {}^t(C\bar{Z}_1+D)(\bar{W}_1-W)(CZ+D).$$

Substituting these two expressions into (29), we get

$$\mathfrak{R}(Z,Z_1) = {}^t(CZ_1+D)\mathfrak{R}(W,W_1)\,{}^t(CZ_1+D)^{-1}. \tag{30}$$

As a consequence, this shows that $\mathfrak{R}(Z,Z_1)$ and $\mathfrak{R}(W,W_1)$ have the same eigenvalues. Conversely, for two given pairs $(Z,\,Z_1)$ and $(W,\,W_1)$, by Theorem 4 we realize that there exist elements $M_1,\,M_2 \in \hat{\Omega}_2$ such that $M_1 < Z_1 >= iI,\ M_1 < Z >= i\Lambda_1$, and $M_2 < W_1 >= iI,\ M_2 < W >= i\Lambda_2$, with $\Lambda_1 = \begin{pmatrix}\lambda_1 & \lambda_2\\ \lambda_2 & \lambda_1\end{pmatrix}$ and $\Lambda_2 = \begin{pmatrix}\lambda_1' & \lambda_2'\\ \lambda_2' & \lambda_1'\end{pmatrix}$, where $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2+1,\ \lambda_2 \geq 0$ and $\lambda_1' \geq \lambda_2'+1,\ \lambda_2' \geq 0$. Thus, if $\mathfrak{R}(Z,Z_1)$ and $\mathfrak{R}(W,W_1)$ have the same eigenvalues, then $\mathfrak{R}(iI,i\Lambda_1)$ and $\mathfrak{R}(iI,i\Lambda_2)$ have the same eigenvalues. So in view of

$$p^{-1}\mathfrak{R}(Z,Z_1)\,p = \begin{pmatrix}\left(\frac{\lambda_1+\lambda_2-1}{\lambda_1+\lambda_2+1}\right)^2 & 0\\ 0 & \left(\frac{\lambda_1-\lambda_2-1}{\lambda_1-\lambda_2+1}\right)^2\end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$p^{-1}\mathfrak{R}(W,W_1)\,p = \begin{pmatrix}\left(\frac{\lambda_1'+\lambda_2'-1}{\lambda_1'+\lambda_2'+1}\right)^2 & 0\\ 0 & \left(\frac{\lambda_1'-\lambda_2'-1}{\lambda_1'-\lambda_2'+1}\right)^2\end{pmatrix}$$

we can realize

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_1',\ \lambda_2 = \lambda_2'.$$

Whence $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2$, and hence we have

$$\left(M_2^{-1}M_1\right) < Z_1 >= W_1,\ \left(M_2^{-1}M_1\right) < Z >= W.$$

In summary, we have the following

Theorem 5. *There exists a symplectic transformation $M \in \hat{\Omega}_2$ mapping a given pair $(Z,\,Z_1)$ of $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$ into another given pair $(W,\,W_1)$ of $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$, if and only if the cross ratios $\mathfrak{R}(Z,\,Z_1)$ and $\mathfrak{R}(W,\,W_1)$ have the same eigenvalues.*

Again, (30) shows that the trace $tr(\mathfrak{R}(Z,Z_1))$ of the cross ratio $\mathfrak{R}(Z,Z_1)$ is invariant under the action by $M \in \hat{\Omega}_2$, i.e., we have for any $M \in \hat{\Omega}_2$,

$$tr(\mathfrak{R}(W,W_1)) = tr(\mathfrak{R}(M < Z >, M < Z_1 >)) = tr(\mathfrak{R}(Z,Z_1)). \tag{31}$$

Now we fix Z and M temporarily, and regard the right and the left hand sides of (31) as functions of Z_1 and W_1 respectively. Then by definition (29) we can find easily that $\mathfrak{R}(Z,Z_1)$ and so $tr(\mathfrak{R}(Z,Z_1))$ are differentiable with respect to the variable Z_1. Thus by (31) and in view of the linearity of the differential operator, we get

$$tr(d^2\mathfrak{R}(W,W_1)) = tr(d^2\mathfrak{R}(Z,Z_1)). \tag{32}$$

In particular, by taking $Z_1 = Z$, (32) shows that the trace of the second order differential $d^2\mathfrak{R}(Z, Z_1)$ at Z, which is denoted by $d^2\mathfrak{R}(Z, Z_1)\big|_{Z_1=Z}$ as usual, is invariant under the action by any $M \in \hat{\Omega}_2$. Again, from (29), direct computation gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{R}(Z, Z_1) =& \mathrm{d}\left((Z - Z_1)(Z - \bar{Z}_1)^{-1}(\bar{Z} - \bar{Z}_1)(\bar{Z} - Z_1)^{-1}\right) \\
=& -(\mathrm{d}Z_1)(Z - \bar{Z}_1)^{-1}(\bar{Z} - \bar{Z}_1)(\bar{Z} - Z_1)^{-1} \\
&+ (Z - Z_1)(Z - \bar{Z}_1)^{-1}\left(\mathrm{d}\bar{Z}_1\right)(Z - \bar{Z}_1)^{-1}(\bar{Z} - \bar{Z}_1)(\bar{Z} - Z_1)^{-1} \\
&- (Z - Z_1)(Z - \bar{Z}_1)^{-1}\left(\mathrm{d}\bar{Z}_1\right)(\bar{Z} - Z_1)^{-1} \\
&+ (Z - Z_1)(Z - \bar{Z}_1)^{-1}(\bar{Z} - \bar{Z}_1)(\bar{Z} - Z_1)^{-1}(\mathrm{d}Z_1)(\bar{Z} - Z_1)^{-1},
\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}^2\mathfrak{R}(Z, Z_1) =& -(\mathrm{d}Z_1)\big\{(Z - \bar{Z}_1)^{-1}\left(\mathrm{d}\bar{Z}_1\right)(Z - \bar{Z}_1)^{-1}(\bar{Z} - \bar{Z}_1)(\bar{Z} - Z_1)^{-1} \\
&- (Z - \bar{Z}_1)^{-1}\left(\mathrm{d}\bar{Z}_1\right)(\bar{Z} - Z_1)^{-1} \\
&+ (Z - \bar{Z}_1)^{-1}(\bar{Z} - \bar{Z}_1)(\bar{Z} - Z_1)^{-1}(\mathrm{d}Z_1)(\bar{Z} - Z_1)^{-1}\big\} \\
&+ \big\{-(\mathrm{d}Z_1)(Z - \bar{Z}_1)^{-1}\left(\mathrm{d}\bar{Z}_1\right)(Z - \bar{Z}_1)^{-1}(\bar{Z} - \bar{Z}_1)(\bar{Z} - Z_1)^{-1} \\
&+ (Z - Z_1)(Z - \bar{Z}_1)^{-1}\left(\mathrm{d}\bar{Z}_1\right)(Z - \bar{Z}_1)^{-1}\left(\mathrm{d}\bar{Z}_1\right)(Z - \bar{Z}_1)^{-1}(\bar{Z} - \bar{Z}_1)(\bar{Z} - Z_1)^{-1} \\
&+ (Z - Z_1)(Z - \bar{Z}_1)^{-1}\left(\mathrm{d}\bar{Z}_1\right)(Z - \bar{Z}_1)^{-1}\left(\mathrm{d}\bar{Z}_1\right)(Z - \bar{Z}_1)^{-1}(\bar{Z} - \bar{Z}_1)(\bar{Z} - Z_1)^{-1} \\
&+ (Z - Z_1)(Z - \bar{Z}_1)^{-1}\left(\mathrm{d}\bar{Z}_1\right)(Z - \bar{Z}_1)^{-1}(-\mathrm{d}\bar{Z}_1)(\bar{Z} - Z_1)^{-1} \\
&+ (Z - Z_1)(Z - \bar{Z}_1)^{-1}\left(\mathrm{d}\bar{Z}_1\right)(Z - \bar{Z}_1)^{-1}(\bar{Z} - \bar{Z}_1)(\bar{Z} - Z_1)^{-1}(\mathrm{d}Z_1)(\bar{Z} - Z_1)^{-1}\big\} \\
&- \big\{-(\mathrm{d}Z_1)(Z - \bar{Z}_1)^{-1}\left(\mathrm{d}\bar{Z}_1\right)(\bar{Z} - Z_1)^{-1} \\
&+ (Z - Z_1)(Z - \bar{Z}_1)^{-1}\left(\mathrm{d}\bar{Z}_1\right)(Z - \bar{Z}_1)^{-1}\left(\mathrm{d}\bar{Z}_1\right)(\bar{Z} - Z_1)^{-1} \\
&+ (Z - Z_1)(Z - \bar{Z}_1)^{-1}\left(\mathrm{d}\bar{Z}_1\right)(\bar{Z} - Z_1)^{-1}(\mathrm{d}Z_1)(\bar{Z} - Z_1)^{-1}\big\} \\
&+ \big\{-(\mathrm{d}Z_1)(Z - \bar{Z}_1)^{-1}(\bar{Z} - \bar{Z}_1)(\bar{Z} - Z_1)^{-1}(\mathrm{d}Z_1)(\bar{Z} - Z_1)^{-1} \\
&+ (Z - Z_1)(Z - \bar{Z}_1)^{-1}\left(\mathrm{d}\bar{Z}_1\right)(Z - \bar{Z}_1)^{-1}(\bar{Z} - \bar{Z}_1)(\bar{Z} - Z_1)^{-1}(\mathrm{d}Z_1)(\bar{Z} - Z_1)^{-1} \\
&+ (Z - Z_1)(Z - \bar{Z}_1)^{-1}\left(-\mathrm{d}\bar{Z}_1\right)(\bar{Z} - Z_1)^{-1}(\mathrm{d}Z_1)(\bar{Z} - Z_1)^{-1} \\
&+ (Z - Z_1)(Z - \bar{Z}_1)^{-1}(\bar{Z} - \bar{Z}_1)(\bar{Z} - Z_1)^{-1}(\mathrm{d}Z_1)(\bar{Z} - Z_1)^{-1}(\mathrm{d}Z_1)(\bar{Z} - Z_1)^{-1} \\
&+ (Z - Z_1)(Z - \bar{Z}_1)^{-1}(\bar{Z} - \bar{Z}_1)(\bar{Z} - Z_1)^{-1}(\mathrm{d}Z_1)(\bar{Z} - Z_1)^{-1}(\mathrm{d}Z_1)(\bar{Z} - Z_1)^{-1}\big\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$2\, d^2\mathfrak{R}(Z, Z_1)\big|_{Z_1=Z} = 4(\mathrm{d}Z)\left(Z - \bar{Z}\right)^{-1}(\mathrm{d}\bar{Z})\left(\bar{Z} - Z\right)^{-1} = \mathrm{d}ZY^{-1}\mathrm{d}\bar{Z}Y^{-1},$$

where we have written the fixed point Z as $Z = X + iY$, and we also use Z to denote the variable Z_1 for simplification and convention of notations. Summarizing the above, we can state the following

Theorem 6. *The quadratic differential form ds^2, which is defined by*

$$ds^2 := tr\left(Y^{-1}\,dZY^{-1}\,d\bar{Z}\right) = tr\left(dZY^{-1}\,d\bar{Z}Y^{-1}\right)$$

at Z, is invariant under the action by every $M \in \hat{\Omega}_2$. And further, ds^2 is positive definite at any point $Z \in \hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$. So ds^2 defines a metric on $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$, it is the so-called symplectic metric on $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$.

Proof. We only need to prove the positiveness of $\mathrm{d}s^2$. Since the differential form $\mathrm{d}s^2$ is invariant under the action by $M \in \hat{\Omega}_2$, and the action of $\hat{\Omega}_2$ on $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$ is transitive, it is sufficient to prove the positiveness of it at the special point iI. But there, simple computation gives

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = tr\left((\mathrm{d}X)^2 + (\mathrm{d}Y)^2\right) = 2\left(\mathrm{d}x_1^2 + \mathrm{d}x_2^2 + \mathrm{d}y_1^2 + \mathrm{d}y_2^2\right),$$

which is plainly positive, where we have written the the corresponding variable Z at the point iI as $Z = X + iY = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ x_2 & x_1 \end{pmatrix} + i\begin{pmatrix} y_1 & y_2 \\ y_2 & y_1 \end{pmatrix}$. The proof of Lemma 4 is complete.

Next, we turn to consider the uniqueness, up to a positive constant multiple, of the symplectic metric. More precisely, we have the following

Lemma 4. *Let* $Z = X + iY = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & z_2 \\ z_2 & z_1 \end{pmatrix} \in \hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$. *Assume* $f_Z\left(dz_1,\, dz_2,\, d\bar{z}_1,\, d\bar{z}_2\right)$ *is an arbitrary positive definite quadratic differential form of* $dz_1,\, dz_2,\, d\bar{z}_1,\, d\bar{z}_2$, *which is invariant under the actions of* $M \in \hat{\Omega}_2$, *written as*

$$f_Z\left(dz_1,\, dz_2,\, d\bar{z}_1,\, d\bar{z}_2\right) := \left(dz_1,\, dz_2,\, d\bar{z}_1,\, d\bar{z}_2\right) A\left(Z\right) {}^t\!\left(d\bar{z}_1,\, d\bar{z}_2,\, dz_1,\, dz_2\right), \tag{33}$$

where $A\left(Z\right)$ *is an Hermitian matrix in* $\mathbb{C}^{4\times 4}$ *depending at most on* Z *and* f. *Then there exists a positive constant* C *depending only on* f *such that*

$$f_Z\left(dz_1,\, dz_2,\, d\bar{z}_1,\, d\bar{z}_2\right) = C tr\left(Y^{-1} dZ Y^{-1} d\bar{Z}\right). \tag{34}$$

Proof. First of all, by the transitivity of the action of $\hat{\Omega}_2$ on $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$, we can take an $M_0 \in \hat{\Omega}_2$ such that $M_0 < iI >= Z$. Using this M_0 we can make a transformation of variables $Z' = \begin{pmatrix} z_1' & z_2' \\ z_2' & z_1' \end{pmatrix} = M_0^{-1} < Z >= M_0^{-1}\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & z_2 \\ z_2 & z_1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$. Then the special case of Lemma 5 with the point $Z = iI$ and $\mathrm{d}Z = \mathrm{d}Z'$ gives rise to

$$f_{iI}\left(\mathrm{d}z_1',\, \mathrm{d}z_2',\, \mathrm{d}\bar{z}_1',\, \mathrm{d}\bar{z}_2'\right) = C tr\left(\mathrm{d}Z' \mathrm{d}\bar{Z}'\right).$$

Using M_0 to act on both sides of this equality and in view of the invariance of the both sides under this action, we deduce (34) immediately in general. So without loss of generality we can assume $Z = iI$ in this lemma. And thus the problem becomes to prove (34) at the point $iI \in \hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$, i.e.,

$$f_{iI}\left(\mathrm{d}z_1,\, \mathrm{d}z_2,\, \mathrm{d}\bar{z}_1,\, \mathrm{d}\bar{z}_2\right) = C tr\left(\mathrm{d}Z \mathrm{d}\bar{Z}\right). \tag{35}$$

Taking a step further, we consider the reflection of the problem to that of the domain $\hat{E}_2$. To this end, we are naturally led to use the variable transformation $Z_0 = L^{-1} < Z >= (Z - iI)(Z + iI)^{-1}$. Note that, under this transformation the point $iI \in \hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$ is transformed to $0 \in \hat{E}_2$. And we have

$$\mathrm{d}Z_0 = (\mathrm{d}Z)(Z + iI)^{-1} - (Z - iI)(Z + iI)^{-1}(\mathrm{d}Z)(Z + iI)^{-1}.$$

Hence, at the point $Z = iI$, or $Z_0 = 0$, we have

$$\mathrm{d}Z = 2i\mathrm{d}Z_0. \tag{36}$$

Whence $\mathrm{d}\bar{Z} = -2i\mathrm{d}\bar{Z}_0$. Consequently $\mathrm{d}Z\mathrm{d}\bar{Z} = 4\mathrm{d}Z_0\mathrm{d}\bar{Z}_0$, and thus

$$\mathrm{T}r\left(\mathrm{d}Z\mathrm{d}\bar{Z}\right) = 4\mathrm{T}r\left(\mathrm{d}Z_0\mathrm{d}\bar{Z}_0\right). \tag{37}$$

Further, if we write $Z_0 = \begin{pmatrix} z_1' & z_2' \\ z_2' & z_1' \end{pmatrix}$ and recall $Z = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & z_2 \\ z_2 & z_1 \end{pmatrix}$, then at the point $Z = iI$ we have by (36),

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{d}z_1 \\ \mathrm{d}z_2 \end{pmatrix} = 2i \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{d}z_1' \\ \mathrm{d}z_2' \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{d}\bar{z}_1 \\ \mathrm{d}\bar{z}_2 \end{pmatrix} = -2i \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{d}\bar{z}_1' \\ \mathrm{d}\bar{z}_2' \end{pmatrix}.$$

So the left hand side of (35) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
& f_{iI}\left(\mathrm{d}z_1, \mathrm{d}z_2, \mathrm{d}\bar{z}_1, \mathrm{d}\bar{z}_2\right) \\
&= \left(\mathrm{d}z_1, \mathrm{d}z_2, \mathrm{d}\bar{z}_1, \mathrm{d}\bar{z}_2\right) A\, {}^t\left(\mathrm{d}\bar{z}_1, \mathrm{d}\bar{z}_2, \mathrm{d}z_1, \mathrm{d}z_2\right) \\
&= (2i)^2 \left(\mathrm{d}z_1', \mathrm{d}z_2', -\mathrm{d}\bar{z}_1', -\mathrm{d}\bar{z}_2'\right) A\, {}^t\left(-\mathrm{d}\bar{z}_1', -\mathrm{d}\bar{z}_2', \mathrm{d}z_1', \mathrm{d}z_2'\right) \\
&= 4\left(-\mathrm{d}z_1', -\mathrm{d}z_2', \mathrm{d}\bar{z}_1', \mathrm{d}\bar{z}_2'\right) A\, {}^t\left(-\mathrm{d}\bar{z}_1', -\mathrm{d}\bar{z}_2', \mathrm{d}z_1', \mathrm{d}z_2'\right) \\
&= 4\left(\mathrm{d}z_1', \mathrm{d}z_2', \mathrm{d}\bar{z}_1', \mathrm{d}\bar{z}_2'\right) \begin{pmatrix} -I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} A \begin{pmatrix} -I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} {}^t\left(\mathrm{d}\bar{z}_1', \mathrm{d}\bar{z}_2', \mathrm{d}z_1', \mathrm{d}z_2'\right) \\
&= 4\left(\mathrm{d}z_1', \mathrm{d}z_2', \mathrm{d}\bar{z}_1', \mathrm{d}\bar{z}_2'\right) A_0\, {}^t\left(\mathrm{d}\bar{z}_1', \mathrm{d}\bar{z}_2', \mathrm{d}z_1', \mathrm{d}z_2'\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

where $A_0 = \begin{pmatrix} -I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} A \begin{pmatrix} -I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$. Note that the positiveness of A_0 is equivalent to that of A. Thus by (37) and (38), the proof of (35) is reduced to proving

$$\left(\mathrm{d}z_1', \mathrm{d}z_2', \mathrm{d}\bar{z}_1', \mathrm{d}\bar{z}_2'\right) A_0\, {}^t\left(\mathrm{d}\bar{z}_1', \mathrm{d}\bar{z}_2', \mathrm{d}z_1', \mathrm{d}z_2'\right) = C\mathrm{T}r\left(\mathrm{d}Z_0\mathrm{d}\bar{Z}_0\right),$$

or simply, if we use again A to denote A_0 and let $Z_0 = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & z_2 \\ z_2 & z_1 \end{pmatrix}$,

$$\left(\mathrm{d}z_1, \mathrm{d}z_2, \mathrm{d}\bar{z}_1, \mathrm{d}\bar{z}_2\right) A\, {}^t\left(\mathrm{d}\bar{z}_1, \mathrm{d}\bar{z}_2, \mathrm{d}z_1, \mathrm{d}z_2\right) = C\mathrm{T}r\left(\mathrm{d}Z_0\mathrm{d}\bar{Z}_0\right), \tag{39}$$

under the assumptions that the left hand side of (39), denoted by f_0, is positive definite, and is invariant under the actions of elements $M \in \hat{\Omega}_{E_2}$ with fixed point 0. Recall that by, Theorem 3, any action in $\hat{\Omega}_{E_2}$ with fixed point 0 must be of the form $Z_0 \longrightarrow {}^tUZ_0U$ with U being unitary and satisfying $Uq = \varepsilon qU$. Thus if we put ${}^tUZ_0U = Z_0'$ and let $Z_0' = \begin{pmatrix} z_1' & z_2' \\ z_2' & z_1' \end{pmatrix}$, then the invariance of f_0 is equivalent to that the matrix A satisfies

$$\left(\mathrm{d}z_1', \mathrm{d}z_2', \mathrm{d}\bar{z}_1', \mathrm{d}\bar{z}_2'\right) A\, {}^t\left(\mathrm{d}\bar{z}_1', \mathrm{d}\bar{z}_2', \mathrm{d}z_1', \mathrm{d}z_2'\right) = \left(\mathrm{d}z_1, \mathrm{d}z_2, \mathrm{d}\bar{z}_1, \mathrm{d}\bar{z}_2\right) A\, {}^t\left(\mathrm{d}\bar{z}_1, \mathrm{d}\bar{z}_2, \mathrm{d}z_1, \mathrm{d}z_2\right) \tag{40}$$

for any $Z = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & z_2 \\ z_2 & z_1 \end{pmatrix}$. Therefore, our problem finally becomes to prove (39) under the assumptions of (40) and the positiveness of it. To do this, we are naturally led to consider at first a more explicit expression of (40). From $Uq = \varepsilon qU$ we can write

$U = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & u_2 \\ \varepsilon u_2 & \varepsilon u_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} U_1 \\ \varepsilon U_2 \end{pmatrix}$, where $U_1 = (u_1, u_2)$ and $U_2 = (u_2, u_1)$. Hence from $Z_0' = {}^tUZ_0U$ we can obtain by direct computations

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{d}Z_0' = {}^t U \mathrm{d}Z_0 U &= \left({}^tU_1U_1 + {}^tU_2U_2\right)\mathrm{d}z_1 + \varepsilon\left({}^tU_2U_1 + {}^tU_1U_2\right)\mathrm{d}z_2 \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} u_1^2+u_2^2 & 2u_1u_2 \\ 2u_1u_2 & u_1^2+u_2^2 \end{pmatrix}\mathrm{d}z_1 + \varepsilon\begin{pmatrix} 2u_1u_2 & u_1^2+u_2^2 \\ u_1^2+u_2^2 & 2u_1u_2 \end{pmatrix}\mathrm{d}z_2, \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{d}z_1' \\ \mathrm{d}z_2' \end{pmatrix} = B_0 \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{d}z_1 \\ \mathrm{d}z_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $B_0 = \begin{pmatrix} u_1^2+u_2^2 & 2\varepsilon u_1u_2 \\ 2u_1u_2 & \varepsilon\left(u_1^2+u_2^2\right) \end{pmatrix}$. Thus we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{d}\bar{z}_1' \\ \mathrm{d}\bar{z}_2' \\ \mathrm{d}z_1' \\ \mathrm{d}z_2' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{B}_0 & 0 \\ 0 & B_0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{d}\bar{z}_1 \\ \mathrm{d}\bar{z}_2 \\ \mathrm{d}z_1 \\ \mathrm{d}z_2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{41}$$

Denoting $B = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{B}_0 & 0 \\ 0 & B_0 \end{pmatrix}$ and inserting (41) into (40), we see that, for any B determined by U above, there always holds

$$A = {}^t\bar{B}AB. \tag{42}$$

Further, if we write $A = (a_{ij})_{4\times4} = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & A_2 \\ A_3 & A_4 \end{pmatrix}$ with $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}$ and $A_1, ..., A_4 \in \mathbb{C}^{2\times2}$, and in view of the expanding form of the left hand side of (39), we can naturally assume also without loss of generality that

$$a_{33} = a_{11},\ a_{34} = a_{21},\ a_{43} = a_{12},\ a_{44} = a_{22},\ a_{23} = a_{14},\ a_{41} = a_{32}. \tag{43}$$

This together with ${}^t\bar{A} = A$ implies

$${}^t\bar{A}_1 = A_1,\ {}^tA_2 = A_2,\ {}^t\bar{A}_2 = A_3,\ {}^tA_1 = A_4. \tag{44}$$

Also, inserting the block expressions of A and B above into (42) we obtain

$${}^tB_0A_1\bar{B}_0 = A_1, \quad {}^tB_0A_2B_0 = A_2. \tag{45}$$

Again, by taking $u_1 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}i$, $u_2 = \frac{1}{2}$, it can be checked easily that for $\varepsilon = \pm1$ the matrix $U = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & u_2 \\ \varepsilon u_2 & \varepsilon u_1 \end{pmatrix}$ is unitary, and for this choice of U, we have $u_1^2+u_2^2 = -\frac{1}{2}$, $u_1u_2 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}i$, so $B_0 = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & \varepsilon\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}i \\ \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}i & -\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon \end{pmatrix}$. Inserting this B_0 into the first identity of (45) we get

$$-\frac{1}{2}a_{11} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}ia_{21} = -\frac{1}{2}a_{11} + \varepsilon\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}ia_{12},\ -\frac{1}{2}a_{12} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}ia_{22} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}ia_{11} - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon a_{12}. \tag{46}$$

From the first identity of (46) we see that $a_{21} = \varepsilon a_{12}$ holds for both of $\varepsilon = \pm 1$, and this clearly implies $a_{21} = 0$, and whence $a_{12} = 0$. And from the second equality of (46) with $\varepsilon = 1$ we derive $a_{11} = a_{22}$. Thus we deduce

$$A_1 = a_{11} I, \tag{47}$$

and since ${}^t\bar{A}_1 = A_1$ by (44), we see that a_{11} is real. Similarly, inserting the above B_0 into the second identity of (45) we can get by direct computations that $-\varepsilon a_{23} = a_{14}$ and $-\varepsilon a_{24} = a_{13}$, and these equalities with $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ clearly imply $a_{14} = a_{23} = 0$ and $a_{13} = a_{24} = 0$ respectively. Thus we have

$$A_2 = 0. \tag{48}$$

The combination of (44), (47) and (48) clearly implies

$$A = a_{11} I,$$

and by the assumption of the positiveness of f_0 we obtain $a_{11} > 0$. Substituting this $A = a_{11} I$ into the left hand side of (39) it can be seen easily that (39) holds by taking $C = a_{11}$. The proof of Lemma 4 is complete.

7. The geodesics and the symplectic distances

In this section we come to consider the geodesic and the symplectic distance of two points in $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$ under the metric given by the preceding section. Our first preliminary result is the following

Lemma 5. *Under the metric $ds^2 = Y^{-1} dZ Y^{-1} d\bar{Z}$ defined in the preceding section, the shortest length of sufficiently smooth curves $Z = Z(t)$ joining the points $Z(0) = iI$ and $Z(t_0) = i\Lambda = i \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 \\ \lambda_2 & \lambda_1 \end{pmatrix}$ with $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 + 1$ and $\lambda_2 \geq 0$ is*

$$\rho = \left(\log^2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) + \log^2(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \right)^{1/2},$$

where t_0 is a positive constant and $0 \leq t \leq t_0$ is a parameter. And a curve of shortest length can be taken as

$$Z = Z(t) = i \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^{t/t_0} + (\lambda_1-\lambda_2)^{t/t_0}}{2} & \frac{(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^{t/t_0} - (\lambda_1-\lambda_2)^{t/t_0}}{2} \\ \frac{(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^{t/t_0} - (\lambda_1-\lambda_2)^{t/t_0}}{2} & \frac{(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^{t/t_0} + (\lambda_1-\lambda_2)^{t/t_0}}{2} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{49}$$

Proof. For any curve $Z = Z(t)$ under consideration we write $Z(t) = X(t) + iY(t)$. Then we have

$$X(0) = 0,\ Y(0) = I,\ X(t_0) = 0,\ Y(t_0) = \Lambda. \tag{50}$$

The length S of this curve then is

$$S = \int_0^{t_0} \left(tr\Big(Y(t)^{-1} \dot{Z}(t) Y(t)^{-1} \overline{\dot{Z}(t)} \Big) \right)^{1/2} \mathrm{d}t,$$

where $\dot{Z}(t)$ is defined as $\dot{Z}(t) = \mathrm{d}Z(t)/\mathrm{d}t$. Now direct computation yields

$$tr\Big(Y(t)^{-1}\dot{Z}(t)Y(t)^{-1}\overline{\dot{Z}(t)}\Big) = tr\Big(Y(t)^{-1}\dot{X}(t)Y(t)^{-1}\dot{X}(t)\Big) + tr\Big(Y(t)^{-1}\dot{Y}(t)Y(t)^{-1}\dot{Y}(t)\Big),$$

and

$$tr\Big(Y(t)^{-1}\dot{X}(t)Y(t)^{-1}\dot{X}(t)\Big) \geq 0.$$

Thus we have

$$S \geq \int_0^{t_0} \Big(tr\Big(Y(t)^{-1}\dot{Y}(t)Y(t)^{-1}\dot{Y}(t)\Big)\Big)^{1/2}\,\mathrm{d}t, \tag{51}$$

and the equality holds if $\dot{X}(t) = 0$. Further, if we write $Y(t) = \begin{pmatrix} y_1(t) & y_2(t) \\ y_2(t) & y_1(t) \end{pmatrix}$ with $y_1(t) > |y_2(t)|$ then by direct computations we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &:= \int_0^{t_0} \Big(tr\Big(Y(t)^{-1}\dot{Y}(t)Y(t)^{-1}\dot{Y}(t)\Big)\Big)^{1/2}\,\mathrm{d}t \\ &= \int_0^{t_0} \big((\dot{y}_1(t)+\dot{y}_2(t))^2(y_1(t)+y_2(t))^{-2} + (\dot{y}_1(t)-\dot{y}_2(t))^2(y_1(t)-y_2(t))^{-2}\big)^{1/2}\,\mathrm{d}t. \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

Put

$$c_1 = \rho^{-1}\log(\lambda_1+\lambda_2),\ c_2 = \rho^{-1}\log(\lambda_1-\lambda_2).$$

Then we have clearly $c_1,\, c_2 \geq 0$, $c_1^2 + c_2^2 = 1$, and

$$\left(\frac{\dot{y_1}+\dot{y_2}}{y_1+y_2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\dot{y_1}-\dot{y_2}}{y_1-y_2}\right)^2 = \left(c_1\frac{\dot{y_1}+\dot{y_2}}{y_1+y_2} + c_2\frac{\dot{y_1}-\dot{y_2}}{y_1-y_2}\right)^2 + \left(c_1\frac{\dot{y_1}-\dot{y_2}}{y_1-y_2} - c_2\frac{\dot{y_1}+\dot{y_2}}{y_1+y_2}\right)^2.$$

So

$$S_1 \geq \int_0^{t_0} \left|c_1\frac{\dot{y_1}+\dot{y_2}}{y_1+y_2} + c_2\frac{\dot{y_1}-\dot{y_2}}{y_1-y_2}\right|\mathrm{d}t,$$

and

$$S_1 = \int_0^{t_0} \left(c_1\frac{\dot{y_1}+\dot{y_2}}{y_1+y_2} + c_2\frac{\dot{y_1}-\dot{y_2}}{y_1-y_2}\right)\mathrm{d}t \tag{53}$$

if and only if

$$\begin{cases} c_1\frac{\dot{y_1}+\dot{y_2}}{y_1+y_2} + c_2\frac{\dot{y_1}-\dot{y_2}}{y_1-y_2} \geq 0, \\ c_1\frac{\dot{y_1}-\dot{y_2}}{y_1-y_2} - c_2\frac{\dot{y_1}+\dot{y_2}}{y_1+y_2} = 0. \end{cases} \tag{54}$$

Thus by (53) and (50) we have under (54),

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \int_0^{t_0} \left(c_1\frac{\dot{y_1}+\dot{y_2}}{y_1+y_2} + c_2\frac{\dot{y_1}-\dot{y_2}}{y_1-y_2}\right)dt \\ &= \left(c_1\log(y_1+y_2) + c_2\log(y_1-y_2)\right)\,|_0^1 \\ &= c_1\log(\lambda_1+\lambda_2) + c_2\log(\lambda_1-\lambda_2) = \rho. \end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

This proves that the shortest length of sufficiently smooth curves from the point $Z(0) = iI$ to the point $Z(t_0) = i\Lambda$ to be equal at least to ρ. Again, if we take a curve by choosing

$$Z(t) = i\begin{pmatrix} \frac{(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^{t/t_0}+(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)^{t/t_0}}{2} & \frac{(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^{t/t_0}-(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)^{t/t_0}}{2} \\ \frac{(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^{t/t_0}-(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)^{t/t_0}}{2} & \frac{(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^{t/t_0}+(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)^{t/t_0}}{2} \end{pmatrix},$$

then clearly $Z(0) = iI,\ Z(t_0) = i\Lambda,\ X(t) = 0$ and it can be checked by direct computations easily that all the conditions of (54) are satisfied. Therefore the length of this curve is indeed equal exactly to $\rho = \sqrt{\log^2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) + \log^2(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)}$, and the proof of this lemma is complete.

The next lemma deals with the differential equation of the geodesics and the uniqueness of the curve with shortest length in the previous lemma.

Lemma 6. *Under the notations of Lemma 5, (49) is the unique curve of shortest length ρ, and it is called the geodesic through the points iI and $i\Lambda$. Furthermore, the parameter t is nothing but the the length of the arcs in the geodesic starting from the point $Z(0) = iI$. The differential equation of the geodesic $Z = Z(s)$ is*

$$\ddot{Z}(s) = -i\dot{Z}Y^{-1}\dot{Z}. \tag{56}$$

Proof. Assume that $Z = Z(s)$ is an arbitrary piecewise sufficiently smooth curve connecting the points $Z(0) = iI$ and $Z(s_0) = i\Lambda$, where the parameter s denotes of the length of the arc. Then by the definition $\mathrm{d}s^2 = tr\left(Y^{-1}\mathrm{d}ZY^{-1}\mathrm{d}\bar{Z}\right)$ of our metric we have

$$tr\left(Y(s)^{-1}\dot{Z}(s)Y(s)^{-1}\dot{\bar{Z}}(s)\right) = tr\left(Y(s)^{-1}\frac{\mathrm{d}Z(s)}{ds}Y(s)^{-1}\frac{\mathrm{d}\bar{Z}(s)}{ds}\right) = \frac{ds^2}{ds^2} = 1,$$

where $\dot{Z}(s) = \frac{\mathrm{d}Z(s)}{ds}$, $\dot{\bar{Z}}(s) = \frac{\mathrm{d}\bar{Z}(s)}{ds}$. Thus the length s_0 of this curve can be written as $\int_0^{s_0} tr\left(Y(s)^{-1}\dot{Z}(s)Y(s)^{-1}\dot{\bar{Z}}(s)\right)^{1/2}\mathrm{d}s$, or abbreviated as

$$\int_0^{s_0} tr\left(Y^{-1}\dot{Z}Y^{-1}\dot{\bar{Z}}\right)^{1/2}\mathrm{d}s. \tag{57}$$

Now let $Z(s)$ vary with the same endpoints $Z(0) = iI$ and $Z(s_0) = i\Lambda$ as the given curve of the lemma, then (57) can be viewed as a functional of the varied curves $Z = Z(s)$. Moreover, if we use the parameter s_1 to denote the length of the arcs of the varied curves $Z = Z(s)$, and use S_1 to denote the length of this curve, then by changing the integral variable s to s_1 we see that (57), with Z to be the present curve $Z = Z(s)$, is equal to

$$\int_0^{s_0} tr\left(Y^{-1}\frac{\mathrm{d}Z}{\mathrm{d}s}Y^{-1}\frac{\mathrm{d}\bar{Z}}{\mathrm{d}s}\right)^{1/2}\mathrm{d}s = \int_0^{S_1} tr\left(Y^{-1}\frac{\mathrm{d}Z}{\mathrm{d}s_1}Y^{-1}\frac{\mathrm{d}\bar{Z}}{\mathrm{d}s_1}\right)^{1/2}\mathrm{d}s_1 = \int_0^{S_1}\mathrm{d}s_1 = S_1.$$

This shows that (57) is always equal to the length of the curves with endpoints $Z(0) = iI$ and $Z(s_0) = i\Lambda$ whether or not the parameter s denotes the length of arc in the curve. And hence, as a functional of the curve $Z = Z(s)$ connecting the points $Z(0) = iI$ and $Z(s_0) = i\Lambda$, (57) is indeed the length of the curves. Therefore, for any curve $Z = Z(s)$ with endpoints $Z(0) = iI$ and $Z(s_0) = i\Lambda$ of shortest length ρ, and with the parameter s to be the length of arc of this curve, we have

$$\delta\int_0^{s_0} tr\left(Y^{-1}\dot{Z}Y^{-1}\dot{\bar{Z}}\right)^{1/2}\mathrm{d}s = 0, \tag{58}$$

where $\delta J(Z(s))$ denotes the first variation of a functional $J(Z(s))$ at $Z(s)$. Further, for any function $f(s)$, let $\delta f(s)$ denote the first variation of $f(s)$, and let $\delta Z(s)$ denote the

matrix with the corresponding entries replaced by the first variations of them. Then by the simple properties of the first variation we get from (58),

$$\int_0^{s_0} \delta\left(tr\left(Y^{-1}\dot{Z}Y^{-1}\dot{\bar{Z}}\right)\right)\mathrm{d}s = 0. \tag{59}$$

Set $B = \dot{Z}Y^{-1}\dot{\bar{Z}}$, $W = Y^{-1}\dot{Z}Y^{-1}$. Then we have by direct computations,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\delta\left(tr\left(Y^{-1}\dot{Z}Y^{-1}\dot{\bar{Z}}\right)\right) = tr\left(\delta\left(Y^{-1}\dot{Z}Y^{-1}\dot{\bar{Z}}\right)\right)\\
=&tr\left(\left(\delta Y^{-1}\right)\dot{Z}Y^{-1}\dot{\bar{Z}} + Y^{-1}\delta(\dot{Z})Y^{-1}\dot{\bar{Z}} + Y^{-1}\dot{Z}(\delta Y^{-1})\dot{\bar{Z}} + Y^{-1}\dot{Z}Y^{-1}\delta(\dot{\bar{Z}})\right)\\
=&2\mathrm{Re}tr\left(B(\delta Y^{-1}) + (W\delta\bar{Z})^{\cdot} - \dot{W}\delta\bar{Z}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

Again from $YY^{-1} = I$ we can derive easily $(\delta Y)Y^{-1} + Y\delta Y^{-1} = 0$ and $\left(Y^{-1}\right)^{\cdot}Y + Y^{-1}\dot{Y} = 0$, hence we have

$$\delta Y^{-1} = -Y^{-1}(\delta Y)Y^{-1} = \frac{i}{2}Y^{-1}\left(\delta Z - \delta\bar{Z}\right)Y^{-1}, \tag{61}$$

and

$$\left(Y^{-1}\right)^{\cdot} = -Y^{-1}\dot{Y}Y^{-1} = \frac{i}{2}Y^{-1}\left(\dot{Z} - \dot{\bar{Z}}\right)Y^{-1}.$$

And by the last equality we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{W} = \left(Y^{-1}\dot{Z}Y^{-1}\right)^{\cdot} &= Y^{-1}\ddot{Z}Y^{-1} + \left(Y^{-1}\right)^{\cdot}\dot{Z}Y^{-1} + Y^{-1}\dot{Z}\left(Y^{-1}\right)^{\cdot}\\
&= Y^{-1}\ddot{Z}Y^{-1} + iY^{-1}\dot{Z}Y^{-1}\dot{Z}Y^{-1} - \frac{i}{2}Y^{-1}\left(B + \bar{B}\right)Y^{-1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

Inserting (61) and (62) into the last expression of (60), by direct computations, we can write (60) further as

$$= 2\mathrm{Re}tr\left((W\delta\bar{Z})^{\cdot} - Y^{-1}\ddot{Z}Y^{-1}\delta\bar{Z} - iY^{-1}\dot{Z}Y^{-1}\dot{Z}Y^{-1}\delta\bar{Z}\right).$$

Inserting this into (59) and in view of

$$\int_0^{s_0} \mathrm{Re\ tr}\left((W\delta\bar{Z})^{\cdot}\right)\mathrm{d}s = 0$$

since $\delta Z = 0$ at the end points with $s = 0$ and $s = s_0$, we get

$$\mathrm{Re}\int_0^{s_0} \mathrm{tr}\left(Y^{-1}\left(\ddot{Z} + i\dot{Z}Y^{-1}\dot{Z}\right)Y^{-1}\delta\bar{Z}\right)\mathrm{d}s = 0.$$

This clearly implies

$$\ddot{Z} + i\dot{Z}Y^{-1}\dot{Z} = 0, \tag{63}$$

which is the differential equation satisfied by the geodesics. Now we are in the position to consider the solution of this differential equation under the boundary conditions $Z(0) = iI$

and $Z(s_0) = i\Lambda$. In view of $Z(s) = \begin{pmatrix} z_1(s) & z_2(s) \\ z_2(s) & z_1(s) \end{pmatrix}$, we can use $p^{-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $p = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ to multiply the left hand side of (63) from the left and the right respectively and obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} \ddot{z}_1 + \ddot{z}_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \ddot{z}_1 - \ddot{z}_2 \end{pmatrix} = -i \begin{pmatrix} \dot{z}_1 + \dot{z}_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \dot{z}_1 - \dot{z}_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (y_1 + y_2)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & (y_1 - y_2)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{z}_1 + \dot{z}_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \dot{z}_1 - \dot{z}_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here we have used the abbreviations $z_1 = z_1(s)$, $z_2 = z_2(s)$, and the symbols $z_1 = x_1 + iy_1 = x_1(s) + iy_1(s)$, $z_2 = x_2 + iy_2 = x_2(s) + iy_2(s)$, with real $x_1,\ y_1,\ x_2,\ y_2$. Hence

$$\ddot{z}_1 + \ddot{z}_2 = -i\left(\dot{z}_1 + \dot{z}_2\right)(y_1 + y_2)^{-1}, \quad \ddot{z}_1 - \ddot{z}_2 = -i\left(\dot{z}_1 - \dot{z}_2\right)(y_1 - y_2)^{-1},$$

or equivalently, by considering the real and the imaginary parts respectively,

$$\ddot{x}_1 + \ddot{x}_2 = \frac{2\left(\dot{x}_1 + \dot{x}_2\right)\left(\dot{y}_1 + \dot{y}_2\right)}{(y_1 + y_2)}, \quad \ddot{y}_1 + \ddot{y}_2 = \frac{\left(\dot{y}_1 + \dot{y}_2\right)^2 - \left(\dot{x}_1 + \dot{x}_2\right)^2}{(y_1 + y_2)}, \tag{64}$$

and

$$\ddot{x}_1 - \ddot{x}_2 = \frac{2\left(\dot{x}_1 - \dot{x}_2\right)\left(\dot{y}_1 - \dot{y}_2\right)}{(y_1 - y_2)}, \quad \ddot{y}_1 - \ddot{y}_2 = \frac{\left(\dot{y}_1 - \dot{y}_2\right)^2 - \left(\dot{x}_1 - \dot{x}_2\right)^2}{(y_1 - y_2)}. \tag{65}$$

By the first equality of (64) one can derive easily that

$$\dot{x}_1 + \dot{x}_2 = c_1\left(y_1 + y_2\right)^2,$$

where c_1 is a constant. This together with the boundary conditions $x_1(0) = x_2(0) = 0$ implies, for any s with $0 \le s \le s_0$,

$$x_1(s) + x_2(s) = c_1 \int_0^s \left(y_1(s) + y_2(s)\right)^2 \mathrm{d}s.$$

This in combination with the boundary conditions $x_1(s_0) = x_2(s_0) = 0$ implies $c_1 = 0$, whence we get

$$x_1(s) + x_2(s) = 0.$$

Similarly, by the first equality of (65) one can derive

$$x_1(s) - x_2(s) = 0.$$

Thus we have

$$x_1(s) = x_2(s) = 0. \tag{66}$$

Again, substituting (66) into the second equality of (64) we see that

$$\ddot{y}_1 + \ddot{y}_2 = \left(\dot{y}_1 + \dot{y}_2\right)^2 (y_1 + y_2)^{-1}.$$

This clearly implies $\dot{y}_1 + \dot{y}_2 = c_2\left(y_1 + y_2\right)$, and so

$$y_1 + y_2 = e^{c_2 s + c_3},$$

where c_2 and c_3 again are constants. This together with the boundary conditions $y_1(0) = 1,\ y_2(0) = 0,\ y_1(s_0) = \lambda_1$ and $y_2(s_0) = \lambda_2$ gives rise to

$$e^{c_3} = 1,\ \ e^{c_2 s_0 + c_3} = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2,$$

hence $c_3 = 0$, $c_2 = s_0^{-1} \log(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)$. Thus

$$y_1(s) + y_2(s) = (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^{s/s_0}. \tag{67}$$

Similarly from the second equality of (65) we can obtain

$$y_1(s) - y_2(s) = (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^{s/s_0}. \tag{68}$$

Finally, by (66), (67) and (68) we see that the solution of (63) with the boundary conditions $Z(0) = iI$ and $Z(s_0) = i\Lambda$ is

$$Z = Z(s) = i \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^{s/s_0} + (\lambda_1-\lambda_2)^{s/s_0}}{2} & \frac{(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^{s/s_0} - (\lambda_1-\lambda_2)^{s/s_0}}{2} \\ \frac{(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^{s/s_0} - (\lambda_1-\lambda_2)^{s/s_0}}{2} & \frac{(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^{s/s_0} + (\lambda_1-\lambda_2)^{s/s_0}}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The proof of this lemma is complete.

Next, we take a step further to consider the distance and the geodesic equation connecting two arbitrary points in $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$. To this end, we will first need a further understanding of the action of $\hat{\Omega}_2$ on $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$. This is the following

Lemma 7. *Let* $M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$ *be an element of* $\hat{\Omega}_2$ *with* $A = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ \varepsilon a_2 & \varepsilon a_1 \end{pmatrix}$, $B = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 \\ \varepsilon b_2 & \varepsilon b_1 \end{pmatrix}$, $C = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & c_2 \\ \varepsilon c_2 & \varepsilon c_1 \end{pmatrix}$ *and* $D = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & d_2 \\ \varepsilon d_2 & \varepsilon d_1 \end{pmatrix}$. *Put*

$$M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 + a_2 & b_1 + b_2 \\ c_1 + c_2 & d_1 + d_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_2 = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 - a_2 & b_1 - b_2 \\ c_1 - c_2 & d_1 - d_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then we have $M_1,\ M_2 \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$, *and the action of* M *on an element* $Z = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & z_2 \\ z_2 & z_1 \end{pmatrix}$ *of* $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$ *can be expressed as*

$$M < Z > = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{M_1 < z_1+z_2 > + M_2 < z_1-z_2 >}{2} & \frac{\varepsilon(M_1 < z_1+z_2 > - M_2 < z_1-z_2 >)}{2} \\ \frac{\varepsilon(M_1 < z_1+z_2 > - M_2 < z_1-z_2 >)}{2} & \frac{M_1 < z_1+z_2 > + M_2 < z_1-z_2 >}{2} \end{pmatrix},$$

where the action $M < z >$ *of an element* M *in* $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ *on a point* z *in the upper half plane is defined by the linear fractional transformation as usual.*

Proof. Recall that $p = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, ${}^t p = p^{-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. So direct computation yields

$$\begin{aligned} {}^t\left({}^t pDp\right) {}^t pAp &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\varepsilon+1}{2}(d_1+d_2) & \frac{\varepsilon-1}{2}(d_1+d_2) \\ \frac{\varepsilon-1}{2}(d_1-d_2) & \frac{\varepsilon+1}{2}(d_1-d_2) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\varepsilon+1}{2}(a_1+a_2) & \frac{\varepsilon-1}{2}(a_1-a_2) \\ \frac{\varepsilon-1}{2}(a_1+a_2) & \frac{\varepsilon+1}{2}(a_1-a_2) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} (a_1+a_2)(d_1+d_2) & 0 \\ 0 & (a_1-a_2)(d_1-d_2) \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$ {}^t\left({}^tpBp\right)\,{}^tpCp=\begin{pmatrix}(b_1+b_2)\,(c_1+c_2) & 0\\ 0 & (b_1-b_2)\,(c_1-c_2)\end{pmatrix}. $$

Thus by ${}^tDA-{}^tBC=I$ we get

$$ \begin{aligned} I=&\ {}^tp{}^tDp{}^tpAp-\ {}^tp{}^tBp{}^tpCp\\ =&\begin{pmatrix}(a_1+a_2)\,(d_1+d_2)-(b_1+b_2)\,(c_1+c_2) & 0\\ 0 & (a_1-a_2)\,(d_1-d_2)-(b_1-b_2)\,(c_1-c_2)\end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} $$

This proves

$$ (a_1+a_2)\,(d_1+d_2)-(b_1+b_2)\,(c_1+c_2)=1 $$

and

$$ (a_1-a_2)\,(d_1-d_2)-(b_1-b_2)\,(c_1-c_2)=1. $$

That is to say M_1 and M_2 are in $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$. Again, from the definition $M<Z>=(AZ+B)(CZ+D)^{-1}$ we can obtain by direct computations,

$$ \begin{aligned} &{}^tpM<Z>p=\left({}^tpAp{}^tpZp+{}^t\,pBp\right)\left({}^tpCp{}^tpZp+{}^t\,pDp\right)^{-1}\\ =&\left(\begin{pmatrix}\frac{\varepsilon+1}{2}\,(a_1+a_2) & \frac{\varepsilon-1}{2}\,(a_1-a_2)\\ \frac{\varepsilon-1}{2}\,(a_1+a_2) & \frac{\varepsilon+1}{2}\,(a_1-a_2)\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}z_1+z_2 & 0\\ 0 & z_1-z_2\end{pmatrix}+\begin{pmatrix}\frac{\varepsilon+1}{2}\,(b_1+b_2) & \frac{\varepsilon-1}{2}\,(b_1-b_2)\\ \frac{\varepsilon-1}{2}\,(b_1+b_2) & \frac{\varepsilon+1}{2}\,(b_1-b_2)\end{pmatrix}\right)\\ \times&\left(\begin{pmatrix}\frac{\varepsilon+1}{2}\,(c_1+c_2) & \frac{\varepsilon-1}{2}\,(c_1-c_2)\\ \frac{\varepsilon-1}{2}\,(c_1+c_2) & \frac{\varepsilon+1}{2}\,(c_1-c_2)\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}z_1+z_2 & 0\\ 0 & z_1-z_2\end{pmatrix}+\begin{pmatrix}\frac{\varepsilon+1}{2}\,(d_1+d_2) & \frac{\varepsilon-1}{2}\,(d_1+d_2)\\ \frac{\varepsilon-1}{2}\,(d_1+d_2) & \frac{\varepsilon+1}{2}\,(d_1+d_2)\end{pmatrix}\right)^{-1}\\ =&\begin{pmatrix}\frac{\varepsilon+1}{2}\,((a_1+a_2)\,(z_1+z_2)+b_1+b_2) & \frac{\varepsilon-1}{2}\,((a_1-a_2)\,(z_1-z_2)+b_1-b_2)\\ \frac{\varepsilon-1}{2}\,((a_1+a_2)\,(z_1+z_2)+b_1+b_2) & \frac{\varepsilon+1}{2}\,((a_1-a_2)\,(z_1-z_2)+b_1-b_2)\end{pmatrix}\\ &\times\begin{pmatrix}\frac{\varepsilon+1}{2}\,((c_1+c_2)\,(z_1+z_2)+d_1+d_2) & \frac{\varepsilon-1}{2}\,((c_1-c_2)\,(z_1-z_2)+d_1-d_2)\\ \frac{\varepsilon-1}{2}\,((c_1+c_2)\,(z_1+z_2)+d_1+d_2) & \frac{\varepsilon+1}{2}\,((c_1-c_2)\,(z_1-z_2)+d_1-d_2)\end{pmatrix}^{-1}. \end{aligned} $$

When $\varepsilon=1$, this can be computed further as

$$ =\begin{pmatrix}M_1<z_1+z_2> & 0\\ 0 & M_2<z_1-z_2>\end{pmatrix}, $$

and when $\varepsilon=-1$, it can be computed as

$$ =\begin{pmatrix}M_2<z_1-z_2> & 0\\ 0 & M_1<z_1+z_2>\end{pmatrix}. $$

So in summary we always have

$$ M<Z>=\begin{pmatrix}\frac{M_1<z_1+z_2>+M_2<z_1-z_2>}{2} & \frac{\varepsilon(M_1<z_1+z_2>-M_2<z_1-z_2>)}{2}\\ \frac{\varepsilon(M_1<z_1+z_2>-M_2<z_1-z_2>)}{2} & \frac{M_1<z_1+z_2>+M_2<z_1-z_2>}{2}\end{pmatrix}, $$

as desired. The proof of this lemma is complete.

The following elementary result will be useful for our further arguments.

Lemma 8. *Let λ_1, λ_2, μ_1, μ_2, θ_1, θ_2 be real numbers satisfying $\lambda_1 \neq 0$, $\lambda_2 \neq 0$. Suppose λ with $\lambda \neq 0$ is a real number satisfying the equality*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_1 & \sin\theta_1 \\ -\sin\theta_1 & \cos\theta_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & \mu_1 \\ 0 & \lambda_1^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_2 & \sin\theta_2 \\ -\sin\theta_2 & \cos\theta_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_2 & \mu_2 \\ 0 & \lambda_2^{-1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (69)$$

Then we have

$$\lambda^2 + \lambda^{-2} = (\lambda_2/\lambda_1)^2 + (\lambda_1/\lambda_2)^2 + (\lambda_1\mu_2 - \lambda_2\mu_1)^2.$$

Proof. From (69) we have by direct computations

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_1 & \sin\theta_1 \\ -\sin\theta_1 & \cos\theta_1 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_2 & \sin\theta_2 \\ -\sin\theta_2 & \cos\theta_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_2 & \mu_2 \\ 0 & \lambda_2^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1^{-1} & -\mu_1 \\ 0 & \lambda_1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_2 & \sin\theta_2 \\ -\sin\theta_2 & \cos\theta_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_2\lambda_1^{-1} & \lambda_1\mu_2 - \lambda_2\mu_1 \\ 0 & \lambda_1\lambda_2^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \lambda\lambda_2\lambda_1^{-1}\cos\theta_2 & \lambda(\lambda_1\mu_2 - \lambda_2\mu_1)\cos\theta_2 + \lambda\lambda_1\lambda_2^{-1}\sin\theta_2 \\ -\lambda^{-1}\lambda_2\lambda_1^{-1}\sin\theta_2 & \lambda^{-1}\lambda_1\lambda_2^{-1}\cos\theta_2 - \lambda^{-1}(\lambda_1\mu_2 - \lambda_2\mu_1)\sin\theta_2 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

By the first column of (70) we have $\begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_1 \\ -\sin\theta_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda\lambda_2\lambda_1^{-1}\cos\theta_2 \\ -\lambda^{-1}\lambda_2\lambda_1^{-1}\sin\theta_2 \end{pmatrix}$, thus

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_1 \\ \sin\theta_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda\lambda_2\lambda_1^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1}\lambda_2\lambda_1^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_2 \\ \sin\theta_2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (71)$$

And by the second column of (70) we have $\begin{pmatrix} \sin\theta_1 \\ \cos\theta_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda(\lambda_1\mu_2 - \lambda_2\mu_1)\cos\theta_2 + \lambda\lambda_1\lambda_2^{-1}\sin\theta_2 \\ \lambda^{-1}\lambda_1\lambda_2^{-1}\cos\theta_2 - \lambda^{-1}(\lambda_1\mu_2 - \lambda_2\mu_1)\sin\theta_2 \end{pmatrix}$, so

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_1 \\ \sin\theta_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{-1}\lambda_1\lambda_2^{-1} & -\lambda^{-1}(\lambda_1\mu_2 - \lambda_2\mu_1) \\ \lambda(\lambda_1\mu_2 - \lambda_2\mu_1) & \lambda\lambda_1\lambda_2^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_2 \\ \sin\theta_2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (72)$$

Consider the difference of (71) and (72), getting

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda\lambda_2\lambda_1^{-1} - \lambda^{-1}\lambda_1\lambda_2^{-1} & \lambda^{-1}(\lambda_1\mu_2 - \lambda_2\mu_1) \\ -\lambda(\lambda_1\mu_2 - \lambda_2\mu_1) & \lambda^{-1}\lambda_2\lambda_1^{-1} - \lambda\lambda_1\lambda_2^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_2 \\ \sin\theta_2 \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

Now in view of $\begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_2 \\ \sin\theta_2 \end{pmatrix} \neq 0$ we deduce

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \lambda\lambda_2\lambda_1^{-1} - \lambda^{-1}\lambda_1\lambda_2^{-1} & \lambda^{-1}(\lambda_1\mu_2 - \lambda_2\mu_1) \\ -\lambda(\lambda_1\mu_2 - \lambda_2\mu_1) & \lambda^{-1}\lambda_2\lambda_1^{-1} - \lambda\lambda_1\lambda_2^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = 0,$$

which implies the desired result. The proof of this lemma is complete.

Lemma 9. *For any $z = x+iy$ in the upper half plane and any real $\mu > 0$, let $M \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ be such that $M < z >= \mu i$. Then M must be of the form*

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \mu^{1/2} & 0 \\ 0 & \mu^{-1/2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & \sin\theta \\ -\sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y^{-1/2} & -xy^{-1/2} \\ 0 & y^{1/2} \end{pmatrix},$$

where θ is a real number.

Proof. Let $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$, then $M^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix}$. Thus from $M < z >= \mu i$ we get

$$z = M^{-1} < \mu i >= \frac{d\mu i - b}{-c\mu i + a} = \frac{(a + c\mu i)(d\mu i - b)}{a^2 + (c\mu)^2} = -\frac{ab + cd\mu^2}{a^2 + (c\mu)^2} + i\frac{\mu}{a^2 + (c\mu)^2}.$$

Hence we have

$$x = -\frac{ab + cd\mu^2}{a^2 + (c\mu)^2},\ y = \frac{\mu}{a^2 + (c\mu)^2}. \tag{73}$$

From the latter of (73) we see that $| - c\mu i + a| = \left(\frac{\mu}{y}\right)^{1/2}$, thus we have

$$-c\mu i + a = | - c\mu i + a|e^{i\theta} = \left(\frac{\mu}{y}\right)^{1/2} \cos\theta + i\left(\frac{\mu}{y}\right)^{1/2} \sin\theta,$$

where θ is a real number satisfying $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$. This clearly implies

$$a = \left(\mu y^{-1}\right)^{1/2} \cos\theta,\ \ c = -(\mu y)^{-1/2} \sin\theta. \tag{74}$$

Again from $x + iy = \frac{d\mu i - b}{-c\mu i + a}$ we get

$$d\mu i - b = (x + iy)(a - c\mu i) = ax + c\mu i + i(ay - c\mu x),$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} b &= -ax - c\mu y = -\mu^{1/2} x y^{-1/2} \cos\theta + \mu^{1/2} y^{1/2} \sin\theta, \\ d &= \mu^{-1} ay - cx = \mu^{-1/2} y^{1/2} \cos\theta + \mu^{-1/2} x y^{-1/2} \sin\theta. \end{aligned}$$

This together with (74) completes the proof of this lemma.

Lemma 10. *Let $z_1 = x_1 + iy_1$ and $z_2 = x_2 + iy_2$ be two arbitrary points in the upper half plane, then there exists an $M \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ such that*

$$M < z_1 >= i,\ \ M < z_2 >= i\lambda,$$

with $\lambda \geq 1$ determined uniquely by z_1 and z_2. And in this case, λ satisfies

$$\lambda + \lambda^{-1} = y_1 y_2^{-1} + y_2 y_1^{-1} + (x_1 - x_2)^2 y_1^{-1} y_2^{-1}.$$

Proof. Since the action of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ on the upper half plane is transitive, we can assume without loss of generality that $z_1 = i$ for the proof of the existence of M. Then the problem becomes to prove the existence of M with fixed point i such that $M < z_2 >= i\lambda$. Using the transformation $z \longrightarrow (z - i)/(z + i)$, we are led to consider linear fractional transforms with fixed point 0. Let z_2' be the image of z_2 under this transformation, then z_2' is in the unit circle centered at the origin. Write $z_2' = |z_2'|e^{i\theta}$ with $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$. Then the transformation $z \longrightarrow e^{-i\theta} z$ will have fixed point 0 and takes z_2' to $r = |z_2'| < 1$.

Transforming this into the upper half plane we have proved the existence of M. To prove the uniqueness of λ, we suppose there exists another $M' \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$M' < z_1 >= i, \;\; M' < z_2 >= i\lambda',$$

with $\lambda' \geq 1$. Then we have

$$M'M^{-1} < i\lambda >= i\lambda', \;\; M'M^{-1} < i >= i. \tag{75}$$

By the second equality of (75) we see that

$$M'M^{-1} = \varepsilon \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & \sin\theta \\ -\sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix}$$

where θ is real. Thus by the first equality of (75) we get

$$i\lambda\cos\theta + \sin\theta = \lambda\lambda'\sin\theta + i\lambda'\cos\theta.$$

Hence if $\cos\theta \neq 0$ then by the identity of the imaginary part we see that $\lambda = \lambda'$. If $\cos\theta = 0$ then $\sin\theta \neq 0$, so $\lambda\lambda' = 1$, which also leads to $\lambda = \lambda'$ since we have $\lambda \geq 1$ and $\lambda' \geq 1$. Now, from $M < z_1 >= i$ and Lemma 10 we have

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_1 & \sin\theta_1 \\ -\sin\theta_1 & \cos\theta_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_1^{-1/2} & -x_1 y_1^{-1/2} \\ 0 & y_1^{1/2} \end{pmatrix},$$

and from $M < z_2 >= i\lambda$ and Lemma 10 we have

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{1/2} & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1/2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_2 & \sin\theta_2 \\ -\sin\theta_2 & \cos\theta_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_2^{-1/2} & -x_2 y_2^{-1/2} \\ 0 & y_2^{1/2} \end{pmatrix},$$

where θ_1 and θ_2 are real numbers satisfying $0 \leq \theta_1, \; \theta_2 < 2\pi$. By these and Lemma 8 we complete the proof of Lemma 10.

Theorem 7. *Let* $Z_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \tau_1 & z_1 \\ z_1 & \tau_1 \end{pmatrix}$ *and* $Z_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \tau_2 & z_2 \\ z_2 & \tau_2 \end{pmatrix}$ *be two arbitrary points in* $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$. *Write*

$$\tau_1 + z_1 = x_1 + iy_1, \; \tau_1 - z_1 = x_2 + iy_2, \; \tau_2 + z_2 = u_1 + iv_1, \; \tau_2 - z_2 = u_2 + iv_2,$$
$$A = \frac{y_1^2 + v_1^2 + (x_1 - u_1)^2}{y_1 v_1} \geq 2, \; B = \frac{y_2^2 + v_2^2 + (x_2 - u_2)^2}{y_2 v_2} \geq 2.$$

Then the distance $\rho(Z_1, Z_2)$ *of* Z_1 *and* Z_2 *is equal to*

$$\rho(Z_1, Z_2) = \left(\log^2 \frac{A + \sqrt{A^2 - 4}}{2} + \log^2 \frac{B + \sqrt{B^2 - 4}}{2} \right)^{1/2}.$$

Proof. By Theorem 4 we know that there exists an $M \in \hat{\Omega}_2$ such that

$$M < Z_1 >= iI, \;\; M < Z_2 >= i\Lambda,$$

where $\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 \\ \lambda_2 & \lambda_1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{(2,2)}$ is a real matrix with $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 + 1$ and $\lambda_2 \geq 0$. Thus by Lemma 7 we may obtain the induced matrices M_1, $M_2 \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ from M such that

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(M_1 < \tau_1 + z_1 > + M_2 < \tau_1 - z_1 >\right) = i, \;\; M_1 < \tau_1 + z_1 > - M_2 < \tau_1 - z_1 >= 0,$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(M_1 < \tau_2 + z_2 > + M_2 < \tau_2 - z_2 >\right) = i\lambda_1, \;\; \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\left(M_1 < \tau_2 + z_2 > - M_2 < \tau_2 - z_2 >\right) = i\lambda_2.$$

These imply respectively

$$M_1 < \tau_1 + z_1 >= i, \;\; M_2 < \tau_1 - z_1 >= i, \tag{76}$$

and

$$M_1 < \tau_2 + z_2 >= i\left(\lambda_1 + \varepsilon\lambda_2\right), \;\; M_2 < \tau_2 - z_2 >= i\left(\lambda_1 - \varepsilon\lambda_2\right). \tag{77}$$

From Lemma 10 and the first equalities of (76) and (77) we get

$$\lambda_1 + \varepsilon\lambda_2 + \frac{1}{\lambda_1 + \varepsilon\lambda_2} = A,$$

and from Lemma 10 and the second equalities of (76) and (77) we get

$$\lambda_1 - \varepsilon\lambda_2 + \frac{1}{\lambda_1 - \varepsilon\lambda_2} = B.$$

Thus in view of $A \geq 2$, $B \geq 2$, $\lambda_1 + \varepsilon\lambda_2 \geq 1$ and $\lambda_1 - \varepsilon\lambda_2 \geq 1$, we get from the above two equalities that

$$\lambda_1 + \varepsilon\lambda_2 = \frac{A + \sqrt{A^2 - 4}}{2}, \;\; \lambda_1 - \varepsilon\lambda_2 = \frac{B + \sqrt{B^2 - 4}}{2}.$$

Therefore by Lemma 5 and Theorem 6 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \rho\left(Z_1, Z_2\right) = \rho\left(iI, i\Lambda\right) &= \left(\log^2\left(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2\right) + \log^2\left(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2\right)\right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left(\log^2 \frac{A + \sqrt{A^2 - 4}}{2} + \log^2 \frac{B + \sqrt{B^2 - 4}}{2}\right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

as what we need. The proof of the theorem is complete.

Next, we are going to give the parameter equation of geodesic connecting two arbitrary different points Z_1 and Z_2 in $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$. To do this, we need the following preliminary result.

Lemma 11. *Let Z_1 and Z_2 be any two different fixed points in $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$, let $M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \hat{\Omega}_2$ be such that*

$$M^{-1} < Z_1 >= iI, \;\; M^{-1} < Z_2 >= i\Lambda,$$

with $\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 \\ \lambda_2 & \lambda_1 \end{pmatrix}$ *and* $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 + 1 \geq 1$. *Suppose* $Z = Z(s)$ *is the parameter equation of the geodesic connecting the points* $Z(0) = iI$ *and* $Z(s_0) = i\Lambda$, *where the parameter* s *with* $0 \leq s \leq s_0$ *denotes the length of the arcs of the geodesic, then*

$$Z = W(s) := M < Z(s) >$$

is the parameter equation of geodesic connecting the points $Z_1 = M < Z(0) >= W(0)$ *and* $Z_2 = M < Z(s_0) >= W(s_0)$, *with* $0 \leq s \leq s_0$ *being also the length of the arcs of this geodesic. So* $W(s)$ *is irrelevant to the choice of the matrix* M *above.*

Proof. By Lemma 6, we only need to prove

$$\ddot{W}(s) + i\dot{W}(s)V(s)^{-1}\dot{W}(s) = 0, \tag{78}$$

under the assumption that

$$\ddot{Z}(s) + i\dot{Z}(s)Y(s)^{-1}\dot{Z}(s) = 0, \tag{79}$$

where $V(s) = \mathrm{I}mW(s)$ and $Y(s) = \mathrm{I}mZ(s)$. Write

$$Z(s) = \begin{pmatrix} \tau(s) & z(s) \\ z(s) & \tau(s) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then from Lemma 7 we know that there exists M_1, $M_2 \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$W(s) = M < Z(s) >= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{M_1 < \tau(s)+z(s) > + M_2 < \tau(s)-z(s) >}{2} & \frac{\varepsilon(M_1 < \tau(s)+z(s) > - M_2 < \tau(s)-z(s) >)}{2} \\ \frac{\varepsilon(M_1 < \tau(s)+z(s) > - M_2 < \tau(s)-z(s) >)}{2} & \frac{M_1 < \tau(s)+z(s) > + M_2 < \tau(s)-z(s) >}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus by multiplying p^{-1} and p from the left and right respectively to both sides of (79) we see that (79) becomes

$$\begin{cases} (\tau(s) + z(s))^{\cdot\cdot} + i(\tau(s) + z(s))^{\cdot 2}\mathrm{I}m\,(\tau(s) + z(s))^{-1} = 0, \\ (\tau(s) - z(s))^{\cdot\cdot} + i(\tau(s) - z(s))^{\cdot 2}\mathrm{I}m\,(\tau(s) - z(s))^{-1} = 0. \end{cases} \tag{80}$$

And similarly (78) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{cases} (M_1 < \tau(s) + z(s) >)^{\cdot\cdot} + i(M_1 < \tau(s) + z(s) >)^{\cdot 2}\mathrm{I}m\,(M_1 < \tau(s) + z(s) >)^{-1} = 0, \\ (M_2 < \tau(s) - z(s) >)^{\cdot\cdot} + i(M_2 < \tau(s) - z(s) >)^{\cdot 2}\mathrm{I}m\,(M_2 < \tau(s) - z(s) >)^{-1} = 0. \end{cases} \tag{81}$$

Then our problem becomes to prove (81) under (80). Notice that $\tau(s) \pm z(s)$ is in the upper half plane and M_1 and M_2 are in $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$. So it is sufficient to prove, for any $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ and any $z(s)$ in the upper half plane,

$$(M < z(s) >)^{\cdot\cdot} + i(M < z(s) >)^{\cdot 2}\mathrm{I}m\,(M < z(s) >)^{-1} = 0, \tag{82}$$

under the assumption that

$$(z(s))^{\cdot\cdot} + i(z(s))^{\cdot 2}\mathrm{I}m\,(z(s))^{-1} = 0. \tag{83}$$

Note that, by simple computation,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{I}mM<z(s)>=\mathrm{I}m\frac{az(s)+b}{cz(s)+d}=\frac{\mathrm{I}mz(s)}{|cz(s)+d|^2},\\
&(M<z(s)>)^{\cdot}=\left(\frac{az(s)+b}{cz(s)+d}\right)^{\cdot}=\frac{\dot{z}(s)}{(cz(s)+d)^2},\\
&(M<z(s)>)^{\cdot\cdot}=\frac{(cz(s)+d)\ddot{z}(s)-2c\dot{z}(s)^2}{(cz(s)+d)^3}.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting these into (82) we can rewrite it as

$$(\mathrm{I}mz(s))\,(cz(s)+d)\ddot{z}(s)-2c\,(\mathrm{I}mz(s))\,\dot{z}(s)^2+i\dot{z}(s)^2\,(c\bar{z}(s+d))=0.$$

Using (83), this can be written further as

$$-i(cz(s)+d)\dot{z}(s)^2-2c\,(\mathrm{I}mz(s))\,\dot{z}(s)^2+i\dot{z}(s)^2\,(c\bar{z}(s+d))=0,$$

which follows clearly from the trivial identity

$$-i\,(cz(s)+d)-2c\mathrm{I}mz(s)+i\,(c\bar{z}(s)+d)=0.$$

The proof of this lemma is complete.

Theorem 8. *Let* $Z_1=\begin{pmatrix}\tau_1 & z_1\\ z_1 & \tau_1\end{pmatrix}$ *and* $Z_2=\begin{pmatrix}\tau_2 & z_2\\ z_2 & \tau_2\end{pmatrix}$ *be any two fixed points in* $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$. *Write*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tau_1+z_1=x_1+iy_1,\ \tau_1-z_1=x_2+iy_2,\ \tau_2+z_2=u_1+iv_1,\ \tau_2-z_2=u_2+iv_2,\\
&A=\frac{y_1^2+v_1^2+(x_1-u_1)^2}{y_1v_1}\geq 2,\ B=\frac{y_2^2+v_2^2+(x_2-u_2)^2}{y_2v_2}\geq 2,\\
&\lambda=\frac{A+\sqrt{A^2-4}}{2}\geq 1,\ \tilde{\lambda}=\frac{B+\sqrt{B^2-4}}{2}\geq 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Then the geodesic connecting Z_1 *and* Z_2 *can be written as*

$$Z=W(s)=\begin{pmatrix}\tau(s) & z(s)\\ z(s) & \tau(s)\end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau(s)=&\frac{x_1+x_2}{2}+\frac{y_1}{2}\frac{\lambda\,(u_1-x_1)\left(\lambda^{2s/s_0}-1\right)c(\lambda)+iv_1\lambda^{s/s_0}}{(\lambda y_1-v_1)\left(\lambda^{2s/s_0}-1\right)c(\lambda)+v_1}\\
&+\frac{y_2}{2}\frac{\tilde{\lambda}\,(u_2-x_2)\left(\tilde{\lambda}^{2s/s_0}-1\right)c(\tilde{\lambda})+iv_2\tilde{\lambda}^{s/s_0}}{\left(\tilde{\lambda}y_2-v_2\right)\left(\tilde{\lambda}^{2s/s_0}-1\right)c(\tilde{\lambda})+v_2},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
z(s)=&\frac{x_1-x_2}{2}+\frac{y_1}{2}\frac{\lambda\,(u_1-x_1)\left(\lambda^{2s/s_0}-1\right)c(\lambda)+iv_1\lambda^{s/s_0}}{(\lambda y_1-v_1)\left(\lambda^{2s/s_0}-1\right)c(\lambda)+v_1}\\
&-\frac{y_2}{2}\frac{\tilde{\lambda}\,(u_2-x_2)\left(\tilde{\lambda}^{2s/s_0}-1\right)c(\tilde{\lambda})+iv_2\tilde{\lambda}^{s/s_0}}{\left(\tilde{\lambda}y_2-v_2\right)\left(\tilde{\lambda}^{2s/s_0}-1\right)c(\tilde{\lambda})+v_2},
\end{aligned}$$

$c(x)$ is a function defined on the interval $[1,\infty)$ by $c(x) := \left(x^2-1\right)^{-1}$ if $x>1$, and $c(x) := 1$ if $x=1$, and the parameter s with $0 \le s \le s_0$ denotes the length of the arc initiated at Z_1 in the geodesic. So the geodesic is uniquely determined by Z_1 and Z_2.

Proof. By Theorem 4 we know that there exists an $M \in \hat{\Omega}_2$ such that

$$M < Z_1 >= iI,\ M < Z_2 >= i\Lambda = i\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 \\ \lambda_2 & \lambda_1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{84}$$

where Λ with $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 + 1 \ge 1$ is determined uniquely by Z_1 and Z_2. For this M, by Lemma 7 we see that there exist two matrices $M_1,\ M_2 \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ such that, for any $Z = \begin{pmatrix} \tau & z \\ z & \tau \end{pmatrix} \in \hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$, the action of M on Z can be written as

$$M < Z >= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{M_1<\tau+z>+M_2<\tau-z>}{2} & \frac{\varepsilon(M_1<\tau+z>-M_2<\tau-z>)}{2} \\ \frac{\varepsilon(M_1<\tau+z>-M_2<\tau-z>)}{2} & \frac{M_1<\tau+z>+M_2<\tau-z>}{2} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{85}$$

By (85) with $Z = Z_1$, together with the first equality in (84), we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(M_1 < \tau_1+z_1 > + M_2 < \tau_1 - z_1 >\right) = i,\ \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\left(M_1 < \tau_1+z_1 > - M_2 < \tau_1 - z_1 >\right) = 0,$$

whence

$$M_1 < \tau_1 + z_1 >= i,\ M_2 < \tau_1 - z_1 >= i. \tag{86}$$

Similarly, by (85) with $Z = Z_2$, together with the second equality in (84), we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(M_1 < \tau_2+z_2 > + M_2 < \tau_2 - z_2 >\right) = i\lambda_1,\ \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\left(M_1 < \tau_2+z_2 > - M_2 < \tau_2 - z_2 >\right) = i\lambda_2,$$

whence

$$M_1 < \tau_2 + z_2 >= i\left(\lambda_1 + \varepsilon\lambda_2\right) = i\lambda,\ M_2 < \tau_2 - z_2 >= i\left(\lambda_1 - \varepsilon\lambda_2\right) = i\tilde{\lambda}. \tag{87}$$

From the first equalities of (86) and (87), by Lemma 10 we have $\lambda + \frac{1}{\lambda} = A$. This together with $\lambda \ge 1$ gives

$$\lambda = \frac{A + \sqrt{A^2-4}}{2}. \tag{88}$$

Similarly, from the second equalities of (86) and (87), by Lemma 10 and in view of $\tilde{\lambda} \ge 1$ we have

$$\tilde{\lambda} = \frac{B + \sqrt{B^2-4}}{2}. \tag{89}$$

Again, from Lemma 9 and the first equality of (86), we can write

$$M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_1 & \sin\theta_1 \\ -\sin\theta_1 & \cos\theta_1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} y_1^{-1/2} & -x_1 y_1^{-1/2} \\ 0 & y_1^{1/2} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{90}$$

where θ_1 is a real number with $0 \le \theta_1 < 2\pi$. Substituting this into the first equality of (87) we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_1^{-1/2} & -x_1 y_1^{-1/2} \\ 0 & y_1^{1/2} \end{pmatrix} < \tau_2 + z_2 >= \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_1 & -\sin\theta_1 \\ \sin\theta_1 & \cos\theta_1 \end{pmatrix} < i\lambda >,$$

that is

$$\frac{-\sin\theta_1 + i\lambda\cos\theta_1}{\cos\theta_1 + i\lambda\sin\theta_1} = \frac{u_1 - x_1 + iv_1}{y_1},$$

Thus, by comparing the real and imaginary parts,

$$\frac{(\lambda^2-1)\sin\theta_1\cos\theta_1}{(\lambda^2-1)\sin^2\theta_1 + 1} = \frac{u_1 - x_1}{y_1}, \quad \frac{\lambda}{(\lambda^2-1)\sin^2\theta_1 + 1} = \frac{v_1}{y_1}.$$

This clearly implies

$$\left(\lambda^2-1\right)\sin^2\theta_1 = \frac{\lambda y_1 - v_1}{v_1}, \quad \left(\lambda^2-1\right)\sin\theta_1\cos\theta_1 = \frac{\lambda(u_1 - x_1)}{v_1}. \tag{91}$$

Similarly, from Lemma 9 and the second equality of (86), we can write

$$M_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_2 & \sin\theta_2 \\ -\sin\theta_2 & \cos\theta_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_2^{-1/2} & -x_2 y_2^{-1/2} \\ 0 & y_2^{1/2} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{92}$$

where θ_2 is again a real number with $0 \le \theta_2 < 2\pi$. Substituting this into the second equality of (87) we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_2^{-1/2} & -x_2 y_2^{-1/2} \\ 0 & y_2^{1/2} \end{pmatrix} < \tau_2 - z_2 > = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_2 & -\sin\theta_2 \\ \sin\theta_2 & \cos\theta_2 \end{pmatrix} < i\tilde{\lambda} >,$$

that is

$$\frac{-\sin\theta_2 + i\tilde{\lambda}\cos\theta_2}{\cos\theta_2 + i\tilde{\lambda}\sin\theta_2} = \frac{u_2 - x_2 + iv_2}{y_2},$$

Thus, by comparing the real and imaginary parts,

$$\frac{\left(\tilde{\lambda}^2-1\right)\sin\theta_2\cos\theta_2}{\left(\tilde{\lambda}^2-1\right)\sin^2\theta_2 + 1} = \frac{u_2 - x_2}{y_2}, \quad \frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{\left(\tilde{\lambda}^2-1\right)\sin^2\theta_2 + 1} = \frac{v_2}{y_2}.$$

This clearly implies

$$\left(\tilde{\lambda}^2-1\right)\sin^2\theta_2 = \frac{\tilde{\lambda} y_2 - v_2}{v_2}, \quad \left(\tilde{\lambda}^2-1\right)\sin\theta_2\cos\theta_2 = \frac{\tilde{\lambda}(u_2 - x_2)}{v_2}. \tag{93}$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 6 it is known that the geodesic connecting the points iI and $i\Lambda$ can be expressed as

$$Z = Z(s) = i\begin{pmatrix} \frac{(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^{s/s_0}+(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)^{s/s_0}}{2} & \frac{(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^{s/s_0}-(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)^{s/s_0}}{2} \\ \frac{(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^{s/s_0}-(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)^{s/s_0}}{2} & \frac{(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^{s/s_0}+(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)^{s/s_0}}{2} \end{pmatrix},$$

where s with $0 \le s \le s_0$ is the length of the arc of the geodesic. Hence by Lemma 11, the parameter equation of the geodesic connecting the points Z_1 and Z_2 can be written as

$$Z = W(s) := M^{-1} < Z(s) >, \tag{94}$$

where s with $0 \le s \le s_0$ is also the length of the arcs of the geodesic. Write

$$W(s) = M^{-1} < Z(s) >= \begin{pmatrix} \tau(s) & z(s) \\ z(s) & \tau(s) \end{pmatrix},$$

then we have $M < W(s) >= Z(s)$. Hence by (85) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\left(M_1 < \tau(s) + z(s) > + M_2 < \tau(s) - z(s) >\right) = \frac{i}{2}\left((\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^{s/s_0} + (\lambda_1-\lambda_2)^{s/s_0}\right), \\
&\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\left(M_1 < \tau(s) + z(s) > - M_2 < \tau(s) - z(s) >\right) = \frac{i}{2}\left((\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^{s/s_0} - (\lambda_1-\lambda_2)^{s/s_0}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
&M_1 < \tau(s) + z(s) > \\
&= \frac{i}{2}\left(\left((\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^{s/s_0} + (\lambda_1-\lambda_2)^{s/s_0}\right) + \varepsilon\left((\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^{s/s_0} - (\lambda_1-\lambda_2)^{s/s_0}\right)\right) \qquad (95) \\
&= i\lambda^{s/s_0},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&M_2 < \tau(s) - z(s) > \\
&= \frac{i}{2}\left(\left((\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^{s/s_0} + (\lambda_1-\lambda_2)^{s/s_0}\right) - \varepsilon\left((\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^{s/s_0} - (\lambda_1-\lambda_2)^{s/s_0}\right)\right) \qquad (96) \\
&= i\tilde{\lambda}^{s/s_0}.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (90) into (95), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\begin{pmatrix} y_1^{-1/2} & -x_1 y_1^{-1/2} \\ 0 & y_1^{1/2} \end{pmatrix} < \tau(s) + z(s) >= \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_1 & -\sin\theta_1 \\ \sin\theta_1 & \cos\theta_1 \end{pmatrix} < i\lambda^{s/s_0} > \\
&= \frac{i\lambda^{s/s_0}\cos\theta_1 - \sin\theta_1}{i\lambda^{s/s_0}\sin\theta_1 + \cos\theta_1} = \frac{\left(\lambda^{2s/s_0}-1\right)\sin\theta_1\cos\theta_1 + i\lambda^{s/s_0}}{\left(\lambda^{2s/s_0}-1\right)\sin^2\theta_1 + 1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\tau(s) + z(s) = x_1 + y_1 \frac{\left(\lambda^{2s/s_0}-1\right)\sin\theta_1\cos\theta_1 + i\lambda^{s/s_0}}{\left(\lambda^{2s/s_0}-1\right)\sin^2\theta_1 + 1}.$$

Thus, if $\lambda = 1$, then

$$\tau(s) + z(s) = x_1 + iy_1\lambda^{s/s_0}.$$

If $\lambda \neq 1$, then by (91),

$$\tau(s) + z(s) = x_1 + y_1 \frac{\left(\lambda^{2s/s_0}-1\right)\frac{\lambda(u_1-x_1)}{(\lambda^2-1)v_1} + i\lambda^{s/s_0}}{\left(\lambda^{2s/s_0}-1\right)\frac{\lambda y_1 - v_1}{(\lambda^2-1)v_1} + 1}.$$

Gathering together these two cases, we get

$$\tau(s) + z(s) = x_1 + y_1 \frac{\lambda\left(u_1 - x_1\right)c(\lambda)\left(\lambda^{2s/s_0}-1\right) + iv_1\lambda^{s/s_0}}{\left(\lambda y_1 - v_1\right)c(\lambda)\left(\lambda^{2s/s_0}-1\right) + v_1}. \qquad (97)$$

Similarly, substituting (92) into (96), we obtain

$$\tau(s)-z(s)=x_2+y_2\frac{\left(\tilde{\lambda}^{2s/s_0}-1\right)\sin\theta_2\cos\theta_2+i\tilde{\lambda}^{s/s_0}}{\left(\tilde{\lambda}^{2s/s_0}-1\right)\sin^2\theta_2+1}.$$

Thus, if $\tilde{\lambda}=1$, then

$$\tau(s)-z(s)=x_2+iy_2\tilde{\lambda}^{s/s_0}.$$

If $\tilde{\lambda}\neq 1$, then by (93),

$$\tau(s)-z(s)=x_2+y_2\frac{\left(\tilde{\lambda}^{2s/s_0}-1\right)\frac{\tilde{\lambda}(u_2-x_2)}{(\tilde{\lambda}^2-1)v_2}+i\tilde{\lambda}^{s/s_0}}{\left(\tilde{\lambda}^{2s/s_0}-1\right)\frac{\tilde{\lambda}y_2-v_2}{(\tilde{\lambda}^2-1)v_2}+1}.$$

Gathering together these two cases, we get

$$\tau(s)-z(s)=x_2+y_2\frac{\tilde{\lambda}\,(u_2-x_2)\,c(\tilde{\lambda})\left(\tilde{\lambda}^{2s/s_0}-1\right)+iv_2\tilde{\lambda}^{s/s_0}}{\left(\tilde{\lambda}y_2-v_2\right)c(\tilde{\lambda})\left(\tilde{\lambda}^{2s/s_0}-1\right)+v_2}. \tag{98}$$

The combination of (97) and (98) clearly implies the desired result. The proof of Theorem 8 is complete.

8. The symplectic measure related to the symplectic metric

In this last section we will give an explicit formulation of the symplectic measure $\mathrm{d}v$ induced from the symplectic metric $\mathrm{d}s$ given by the previous section, in terms of the usual Euclidean measure. Our main result is the following

Theorem 9. *As for the symplectic metric ds of $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$, the corresponding symplectic measure dv, i.e., the volume element at a point $Z=X+iY=\begin{pmatrix} x_1+iy_1 & x_2+iy_2\\ x_2+iy_2 & x_1+iy_1\end{pmatrix}$ in $\hat{\mathbb{H}}_2$, can be expressed in terms of the Euclidean measure element $dx_1\,dx_2\,dy_1\,dy_2$ as*

$$dv=\frac{4}{(y_1+y_2)^2(y_1-y_2)^2}\,dx_1\,dx_2\,dy_1\,dy_2,$$

which is invariant under the actions of the elements of $\hat{\Omega}_2$.

Proof. First of all, we recall a general assertion from Riemannian geometry: For any metric $\mathrm{d}s^2=(\mathrm{d}x_1,\ldots,\mathrm{d}x_n)\,A\,{}^t(\mathrm{d}x_1,\ldots,\mathrm{d}x_n)$ in an n dimensional space, the corresponding volume element $\mathrm{d}v$ can be expressed as

$$\mathrm{d}v=(\det A)^{1/2}\,\mathrm{d}x_1\ldots\mathrm{d}x_n, \tag{99}$$

where A is an $n\times n$ positive definite matrix in $\mathbb{R}^{(n,n)}$. In the present situation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{d}s^2&=\mathrm{tr}\left(Y^{-1}\mathrm{d}ZY^{-1}\mathrm{d}\bar{Z}\right)=\mathrm{tr}\left(Y^{-1}\mathrm{d}XY^{-1}\mathrm{d}X+Y^{-1}\mathrm{d}YY^{-1}\mathrm{d}Y\right)\\&=\mathrm{tr}\left(Y^{-1}\mathrm{d}XY^{-1}\mathrm{d}X\right)+\mathrm{tr}\left(Y^{-1}\mathrm{d}YY^{-1}\mathrm{d}Y\right).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, if we write

$$tr\left(Y^{-1}\mathrm{d}XY^{-1}\mathrm{d}X\right) := (\mathrm{d}x_1,\ \mathrm{d}x_2)\, B\ {}^t(\mathrm{d}x_1,\ \mathrm{d}x_2),$$

and

$$tr\left(Y^{-1}\mathrm{d}YY^{-1}\mathrm{d}Y\right) := (\mathrm{d}y_1,\ \mathrm{d}y_2)\, C\ {}^t(\mathrm{d}y_1,\ \mathrm{d}y_2),$$

with B, $C \in \mathbb{R}^{(2,2)}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{d}s^2 &= (\mathrm{d}x_1,\ \mathrm{d}x_2)\, B\ {}^t(\mathrm{d}x_1,\ \mathrm{d}x_2) + (\mathrm{d}y_1,\ \mathrm{d}y_2)\, C\ {}^t(\mathrm{d}y_1,\ \mathrm{d}y_2)\\ &= (\mathrm{d}x_1,\ \mathrm{d}x_2,\ \mathrm{d}y_1,\ \mathrm{d}y_2)\begin{pmatrix} B & 0\\ 0 & C\end{pmatrix}\ {}^t(\mathrm{d}x_1,\ \mathrm{d}x_2,\ \mathrm{d}y_1,\ \mathrm{d}y_2)\\ &= (\mathrm{d}x_1,\ \mathrm{d}x_2,\ \mathrm{d}y_1,\ \mathrm{d}y_2)\, A\ {}^t(\mathrm{d}x_1,\ \mathrm{d}x_2,\ \mathrm{d}y_1,\ \mathrm{d}y_2),\end{aligned}$$

where $A = \begin{pmatrix} B & 0\\ 0 & C\end{pmatrix}$. Next, simple computation yields

$$\begin{aligned}tr\left(Y^{-1}\mathrm{d}XY^{-1}\mathrm{d}X\right) &= \mathrm{t}r\left(p^{-1}Y^{-1}pp^{-1}\mathrm{d}Xpp^{-1}Y^{-1}pp^{-1}\mathrm{d}Xp\right)\\ &= \mathrm{t}r\left((p^{-1}Yp)^{-1}p^{-1}\mathrm{d}Xp(p^{-1}Yp)^{-1}p^{-1}\mathrm{d}Xp\right)\\ &= \frac{(\mathrm{d}x_1+\mathrm{d}x_2)^2}{(y_1+y_2)^2} + \frac{(\mathrm{d}x_1-\mathrm{d}x_2)^2}{(y_1-y_2)^2},\end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$tr\left(Y^{-1}\mathrm{d}YY^{-1}\mathrm{d}Y\right) = \frac{(\mathrm{d}y_1+\mathrm{d}y_2)^2}{(y_1+y_2)^2} + \frac{(\mathrm{d}y_1-\mathrm{d}y_2)^2}{(y_1-y_2)^2}.$$

Hence we can obtain

$$B = C = \begin{pmatrix} (y_1+y_2)^{-2} + (y_1-y_2)^{-2} & (y_1+y_2)^{-2} - (y_1-y_2)^{-2}\\ (y_1+y_2)^{-2} - (y_1-y_2)^{-2} & (y_1+y_2)^{-2} + (y_1-y_2)^{-2}\end{pmatrix}.$$

And thus by (99) we obtain

$$\mathrm{d}v = (\det A)^{1/2}\mathrm{d}x_1\mathrm{d}x_2\mathrm{d}y_1\mathrm{d}y_2 = \frac{4}{(y_1+y_2)^2(y_1-y_2)^2}\mathrm{d}x_1\mathrm{d}x_2\mathrm{d}y_1\mathrm{d}y_2,$$

which is what we need. The following is devoted to giving a direct proof of the invariance of $\mathrm{d}v$ under the actions of the elements of $\hat{\Omega}_2$. Take an $M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B\\ C & D\end{pmatrix} \in \hat{\Omega}_2$ with $MQ = \varepsilon QM$. Note that we have

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2\\ \varepsilon a_2 & \varepsilon a_1\end{pmatrix},\ B = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2\\ \varepsilon b_2 & \varepsilon b_1\end{pmatrix},\ C = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & c_2\\ \varepsilon c_2 & \varepsilon c_1\end{pmatrix},\ D = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & d_2\\ \varepsilon d_2 & \varepsilon d_1\end{pmatrix}.$$

Write

$$Z = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & z_2\\ z_2 & z_1\end{pmatrix},\ W = \begin{pmatrix} w_1 & w_2\\ w_2 & w_1\end{pmatrix}$$

with $z_j = x_j + y_j$ and $w_j = u_j + v_j$ for $j = 1, 2$, and let

$$W = M < Z >= (AZ + B)(CZ + D)^{-1}. \tag{100}$$

Then our problem becomes to prove

$$\frac{4}{(y_1 + y_2)^2(y_1 - y_2)^2} dx_1 dx_2 dy_1 dy_2 = \frac{4}{(v_1 + v_2)^2(v_1 - v_2)^2} du_1 du_2 dv_1 dv_2,$$

which is clearly equivalent to proving

$$\frac{\partial(u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2)}{\partial(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2)} = \frac{(v_1 + v_2)^2(v_1 - v_2)^2}{(y_1 + y_2)^2(y_1 - y_2)^2}. \tag{101}$$

Let

$$u_1 + u_2 = \tilde{u}_1,\ u_1 - u_2 = \tilde{u}_2,\ v_1 + v_2 = \tilde{v}_1,\ v_1 - v_2 = \tilde{v}_2$$

and

$$x_1 + \varepsilon x_2 = \tilde{x}_1,\ x_1 - \varepsilon x_2 = \tilde{x}_2,\ y_1 + \varepsilon y_2 = \tilde{y}_1,\ y_1 - \varepsilon y_2 = \tilde{y}_2.$$

Then

$$\frac{\partial(\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2, \tilde{v}_1, \tilde{v}_2)}{\partial(u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2)} = \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = 4,$$

and

$$\frac{\partial(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2, \tilde{y}_1, \tilde{y}_2)}{\partial(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2)} = \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \varepsilon & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -\varepsilon & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \varepsilon \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -\varepsilon \end{pmatrix} = 4.$$

So (101) now becomes

$$\frac{\partial(\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2, \tilde{v}_1, \tilde{v}_2)}{\partial(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2, \tilde{y}_1, \tilde{y}_2)} = \frac{\tilde{v}_1^2 \tilde{v}_2^2}{\tilde{y}_1^2 \tilde{y}_2^2}. \tag{102}$$

Using p^{-1} and p to multiply both sides of (100) from the left and the right respectively, we can obtain by direct computations,

$$\begin{pmatrix} w_1 + w_2 & 0 \\ 0 & w_1 - w_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(a_1 + \varepsilon a_2)(z_1 + \varepsilon z_2) + (b_1 + \varepsilon b_2)}{(c_1 + \varepsilon c_2)(z_1 + \varepsilon z_2) + (d_1 + \varepsilon d_2)} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{(a_1 - \varepsilon a_2)(z_1 - \varepsilon z_2) + (b_1 - \varepsilon b_2)}{(c_1 - \varepsilon c_2)(z_1 - \varepsilon z_2) + (d_1 - \varepsilon d_2)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

This implies for $j = 1, 2$,

$$\tilde{u}_j + i\tilde{v}_j = \frac{\tilde{a}_j(\tilde{x}_j + i\tilde{y}_j) + \tilde{b}_j}{\tilde{c}_j(\tilde{x}_j + i\tilde{y}_j) + \tilde{d}_j}, \tag{103}$$

where

$$\tilde{a}_1 = a_1 + \varepsilon a_2,\ \tilde{b}_1 = b_1 + \varepsilon b_2,\ \tilde{c}_1 = c_1 + \varepsilon c_2,\ \tilde{d}_1 = d_1 + \varepsilon d_2,$$
$$\tilde{a}_2 = a_1 - \varepsilon a_2,\ \tilde{b}_2 = b_1 - \varepsilon b_2,\ \tilde{c}_2 = c_1 - \varepsilon c_2,\ \tilde{d}_2 = d_1 - \varepsilon d_2.$$

Thus we have

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{u}_j}{\partial \tilde{x}_j} + i\frac{\partial \tilde{v}_j}{\partial \tilde{x}_j} = A_j,\ \frac{\partial \tilde{u}_j}{\partial \tilde{y}_j} + i\frac{\partial \tilde{v}_j}{\partial \tilde{y}_j} = iA_j,$$

where, for $j = 1, 2$,

$$A_j = \frac{\tilde{a}_j\tilde{d}_j - \tilde{b}_j\tilde{c}_j}{(\tilde{c}_j(\tilde{x}_j + i\tilde{y}_j) + \tilde{d}_j)^2}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial(\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{v}_1, \tilde{u}_2, \tilde{v}_2)}{\partial(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{y}_1, \tilde{x}_2, \tilde{y}_2)} &= \det\begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{R}eA_1 & -\mathrm{I}mA_1 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathrm{I}mA_1 & \mathrm{R}eA_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathrm{R}eA_2 & -\mathrm{I}mA_2 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathrm{I}mA_2 & \mathrm{R}eA_2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \left((\mathrm{R}eA_1)^2 + (\mathrm{I}mA_1)^2\right)\left((\mathrm{R}eA_2)^2 + (\mathrm{I}mA_2)^2\right) \\ &= |A_1|^2|A_2|^2.\end{aligned} \tag{104}$$

Note that for any linear fractional transformation $g < z >= \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$, there holds

$$\mathrm{I}mg < z >= \frac{ad - bc}{|cz + d|^2}\mathrm{I}mz.$$

Using this equality with $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{a}_j & \tilde{b}_j \\ \tilde{c}_j & \tilde{d}_j \end{pmatrix}$ to (103) with $z = \tilde{x}_j + i\tilde{y}_j$, and in view of $\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{a}_j & \tilde{b}_j \\ \tilde{c}_j & \tilde{d}_j \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ by Lemma 8, we get

$$|A_j|^2 = \frac{\tilde{v}_j^2}{\tilde{y}_j^2}\frac{\left(\tilde{a}_j\tilde{d}_j - \tilde{b}_j\tilde{c}_j\right)^2}{\left(\tilde{a}_j\tilde{d}_j - \tilde{b}_j\tilde{c}_j\right)^2} = \frac{\tilde{v}_j^2}{\tilde{y}_j^2}.$$

Therefore by (104) we obtain

$$\frac{\partial(\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{v}_1, \tilde{u}_2, \tilde{v}_2)}{\partial(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{y}_1, \tilde{x}_2, \tilde{y}_2)} = \frac{\tilde{v}_1^2\tilde{v}_2^2}{\tilde{y}_1^2\tilde{y}_2^2},$$

as desired by (102), i.e., (101). The proof of Theorem 9 is complete.

References

[AZ] A.N. Andrianov and V.G. Zhuravlev, *Modular forms and Hecke operators,* Translated from the 1990 Russian original by Neal Koblitz, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, 145(1995), AMS, Providence, RI.

[B] S. Böcherer, *Siegel modular forms and theta series,* Proc. Symp. Pure Math., 49(1989), Part 2, pp. 3-17.

[C] E. Cartan, *Sur les domaines bornés homogènes de l'espace de n variables complexes,* Abhandlungen aus dem Mathematischen Seminar der Hansischen Universität, 11(1936), pp.116-162.

[CP] M. Courtieu and A. Panchishkin, *Non-Archimedean L-functions and arithmetical Siegel modular forms, Second edition,* Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1471, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.

[DI] W. Duke and Ö. Imamoğlu, *Siegel modular forms of small weight,* Math. Annalen, 310 (1998), pp. 73-82.

[Du] N. Dummigan, *Period ratios of modular forms,* Math. Ann., 318 (2000), pp.621-636.

[EZ] M. Eichler and D. Zagier, *The theory of Jacobi forms,* Progress in Mathematics, 55(1985), Birkhuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA.

[FV] C. Faber and G. van der Geer, *Sur la cohomologie des systèmes locaux sur les espaces de modules des courbes de genre 2 et des surfaces abéliennes,* I, II C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, 338(2004), No.5, pp.381-384 and No.6, pp.467-470.

[H1] L.K. Hua, *Harmonic analysis of functions of several complex variables in the classical domains,* Transl. Math. Monographs, Vol.6(1963), AMS.

[H2] L.K. Hua and I. Reiner, *On the generators of the symplectic modular group,* Transact. AMS 65 (1949), pp.415-426.

[IS] T. Ibukiyama and N.-P. Skoruppa, *A vanishing theorem for Siegel modular forms of weight one,* Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg, 77(2007), pp.229-235.

[KS] W. Kohnen and N.-P. Skoruppa, *A certain Dirichlet series attached to Siegel modular forms of degree two,* Invent. Math., 95(1989), No.3, pp.541-558.

[M] H. Maass, *Siegel's modular forms and Dirichlet series,* Lectures Notes in Mathematics, 216(1971), Springer-Verlag, Berlin.

[P] H. Poincare, *Memoire sur les fonctions Fuchsiennes,* Acta Math., 1 (1883), pp.193-294.

[RSF] C. Ryan, Nathan, N.-P. Skoruppa and S. Fredrik, *Numerical computation of a certain Dirichlet series attached to Siegel modular forms of degree two,* Math. Comp., 81(2012), No.280, pp.2361-2376.

[S1] C.L. Siegel, *Einheiten quadratischer Formen,* Abh. Math. Sem. Hans. Univ., 13 (1940), pp.209-239.

[S2] C.L. Siegel, *Symplectic geometry,* Amer. J. Math., 65 (1943), pp.1-86.

[S3] C.L. Siegel, *Zur Theorie der Modulfunktionen n-ten Grades,* Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 8 (1955), pp.677-681.

[Sk1] N.-P. Skoruppa, *Explicit formulas for the Fourier coefficients of Jacobi and elliptic modular forms,* Invent. Math., 102(1990), No.3, pp.501-520.

[Sk2] N.-P. Skoruppa, *Heegner cycles, modular forms and Jacobi forms,* Sém. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux(2), 3(1991), No.1, pp.93-116.

[Sk3] N.-P. Skoruppa, *Computations of Siegel modular forms of genus two,* Math. Comp., 58 (1992), No.197, pp.381-398.

[Sk4] N.-P. Skoruppa, *Jacobi forms of critical weight and Weil representations,* Modular forms on Schiermonnikoog, pp.239-266, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2008.

[SW] N.-P. Skoruppa and E. Wolfgang, *SL(2,Z)-invariant spaces spanned by modular units,* Automorphic forms and zeta functions, pp.365-388, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2006.

[SZ1] N.-P. Skoruppa and D. Zagier, *Jacobi forms and a certain space of modular forms,* Invent. Math., 94(1988), No.1, pp.113-146.

[SZ2] N.-P. Skoruppa and D. Zagier, *A trace formula for Jacobi forms,* J. Reine Angew. Math., 393(1989), pp.168-198.

[WS] E. Wolfgang and N.-P. Skoruppa, *Modular invariance and uniqueness of conformal characters,* Comm. Math. Phys., 174(1995), No.1, pp.117-136.

Tianqin Wang
School of Information Engineering
North China University of Water Resources and Electric Power
Zhengzhou 450045, Henan, P.R.China
Email: wangtq @ amss.ac.cn

Tianze Wang
School of Mathematics and Information Sciences
North China University of Water Resources and Electric Power
Zhengzhou 450045, Henan, P.R.China
Email: wtz @ ncwu.edu.cn

Hongwen Lu
School of Mathematics and Information Sciences
North China University of Water Resources and Electric Power
Zhengzhou 450045, Henan, P.R.China
Email: lu-hongwen @ 163.com